arXiv:1609.05892v1 [math.RA] 19 Sep 2016

A triality group of nonassociative algebras with involution

In memorry of Professor Susumu Okubo (1930-2015)

Noriaki Kamiya[1] **and Susumu Okubo**[2]

[1]Department of Mathematics, University of Aizu,
Aizuwakamatsu, Japan
[2]Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester,
Rochester, N.Y, U.S.A

Abstract

In this paper, we give concept of triality groups and study a characterization of the group for symmetric composition a;gebras.

AMS classification (2010), 17A30, 17B40, 20F29.

Keywords, Symmetric composition algebras, triality groups, local and global triality relation.

Introduction

The main purpose of this study is to exhibit a generalization of the automorphism group with respect to nonassociative algebras with involution. Moreover frankly describing, we will provide concept of new idea or frontier of a triality relation. for a group called a global triality relation.

First let A be a nonassociative algebra over a field F. If a triple $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) \in (Epi(A))^3$, where $Epi(A)$ is the set of onto and endomorphisms of A, satisfies $\sigma_j(xy) = (\sigma_{j+1}x)(\sigma_{j+2}y)$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ with $\sigma_{j\pm 3} = \sigma_j$ and for any $x, y \in A$, then set of all such triples forms a group called the triality group of A in componentwise multiplication and is denoted by $Trig(A)$. In particular, we consider a construction of the group for symmetric composition algebras A and try to find sub-groups of $Trig(A)$. As a bi-product of left and right operators of A, we have found a formula for invariant sub-groups of automorphism groups of symmetric composition algebras (to see, Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2).

Next summarizing this note, we will discuss several sections as in the following.

1. Triality groups (definitions and preliminary)
2. Symmetric composition algebras
3. Local triality relations
4. Automorphisms of symmetric composition algebras
5, Examples of triality groups for some nonassociative algebras with involution

Appendix. simple examples

This article may be viewed as a continuation of the previous paper one ([K-O.15]). However the content is independent of previous work, that is, we will describe in order to readers as self-contained as possible.

Finally, we would like to note that this work is one in the end of his life (S.Okubo, 1930-2015).

1 Triality Group (Definitions and Preliminary)

Let A be an algebra over a field F of charachteristic not 2 with a bi-linear product denoted by juxtaposition xy for $x, y \in A$. Suppose that a triple $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in (EpiA)^3$, where $EpiA$ denote the set of epimorphisms of A, satisfies a global triality relation

$$g_j(xy) = (g_{j+1}x)(g_{j+2}y) \tag{1.1}$$

for any $x, y \in A$ and for any $j = 1, 2, 3$, Here the index j is defined by modulo 3 so that

$$g_{j\pm 3} = g_j. \tag{1.2}$$

For the second triple $g^{'} = (g_1^{'}, g_2^{'}, g_3^{'}) \in (EpiA)^3$ satisfying the same triality relation, we introduce their product componetwise by

$$gg^{'} = (g_1 g_1^{'}, g_2 g_2^{'}, g_3 g_3^{'}). \tag{1.3}$$

Then, a set consisting of all such triples forms a group which we call the triality group of A, and write

$$Trig(A) = \{g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in (EpiA)^3 | g_j(xy) = (g_{j+1}x)(g_{j+2}y), \forall x, y \in A, \ \forall\ j = 1, 2, 3\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here we emphasize that this group is clearly a generalization of the automorphism group defined by

$$Auto(A) = \{g \in EpiA | g(xy) = (gx)(gy), \forall x, y \in A\}. \tag{1.5}$$

We then note that $Trig(A)$ is invariant under actions of an alternative group A_4 (or equivalently the tetrahedral group) as follows. First, let $\phi \in End(\mathrm{Trig}(A))$ by

$$\phi : g_1 \to g_2 \to g_3 \to g_1 \tag{1.6}$$

which satisfies $\phi^3 = id$ and leaves Eq.(1.1) invariant. Thus, $Trig(A)$ is invariant under actions of the cyclic group Z_3 generated by ϕ. We next introduce $\tau_\mu \in End(Trig(A))$ for $\mu = 1, 2, 3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_1 : g_1 \to g_1, \ g_2 \to -g_2, \ g_3 \to -g_3 \\ &\tau_2 : g_1 \to -g_1, \ g_2 \to g_2, \ g_3 \to -g_3 \\ &\tau_3 : g_1 \to -g_1, \ g_2 \to -g_2, \ g_3 \to g_3, \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

which leave Eq.(1.1) invariant again. Moreover, they satisfy

$$\tau_\mu \tau_\nu = \tau_\nu \tau_\mu, \ \tau_\mu^2 = id, \ \tau_1\tau_2\tau_3 = id \tag{1.8}$$

for $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3$, so that the group generated by $< id, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 >$ is isomorphic to the Klein's 4-group K_4.

Further we note

$$\phi\tau_\mu\phi^{-1} = \tau_{\mu+1},\ (\text{with } \tau_4 = \tau_1). \tag{1.9}$$

Since Z_3 and K_4 generate the alternative group A_4, this shows that A_4 is a invariant group of $Trig(A)$, for example, $(\tau_1 g_1, \tau_1 g_2, \tau_1 g_3) = (g_1, -g_2, -g_3) \in Trig(A)$.

If A is involutive with the involution map $x \to \bar{x}$ satisfying

$$\bar{\bar{x}} = x,\ \overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}, \tag{1.10}$$

we define $\bar{Q} \in End(A)$ for any $Q \in End(A)$ by

$$\overline{Qx} = \bar{Q}\bar{x}. \tag{1.11}$$

then, taking the involution of Eq.(1.10) and letting $x \leftrightarrow \bar{y}$, we find

$$\bar{g}_j(xy) = (\bar{g}_{j+2}x)(\bar{g}_{j+1}y), \tag{1.12}$$

so that $\theta \in End(Trig(A))$ defined by

$$\theta : g_1 \to \bar{g}_2,\ g_2 \to \bar{g}_1,\ g_3 \to \bar{g}_3 \tag{1.13}$$

yields also a invariant operation of $Trig(A)$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\phi\theta\phi = \theta,\ \theta^2 = id,\ \theta\tau_1\theta^{-1} = \tau_2,\ \theta\tau_2\theta^{-1} = \tau_1,\ \theta\tau_3\theta^{-1} = \tau_3. \tag{1.14}$$

Then, A_4 togehter with θ give the S_4-symmetry for $Trig(A)$ with identification of

$$\phi = (1,2,3),\ \tau_1 = (2,3)(1,4)\ \tau_2 = (3.1)(2.4), \tau_3 = (1,2)(3,4), \theta = (1,2) \tag{1.15}$$

in terms of the transpositions of the S_4-group. This also shows that S_4 is a invaruant group of $Trig(A)$, if A is involutive.

Before going into further discussion, we note that $Trig(A)$ for any A always contains a Klein's 4-group K_4. In fact, let $Id \in Epi(A)$ be defined by $(Id)x = x$, for $x \in A$, and set

$$\tilde{\tau}_0 = (Id, Id, Id),\ \tilde{\tau}_1 = (Id. - Id, -Id),\ \tilde{\tau}_2 = (-Id, Id, -Id),\ \tilde{\tau}_3 = (-Id, -Id, Id). \tag{1.16}$$

Then, these 4 element of $(Epi(A)^3)$ satisfy Eq.(1.8) in view of Eq.(1.3), so that they give another Klein's 4-group. Moreover, they satisfy Eq.(1.1). Similarly, we have $Trig(F) = K_4$, for the simplest case of $A = F$.

In contrast to the global triality relation Eq.(1.1), we may also consider the local triality relation

$$t_j(xy) = (t_{j+1}x)y + x(t_{j+2}y) \tag{1.17}$$

for $t_j \in End(A)$ with $t_{j\pm 3} = t_j$. Analogously to Eq.(1.4), we introduce

$$s \circ Lrt(A) =$$

$$\{t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in (End\ A)^3 | t_j(xy) = (t_{j+1}x)y + x(t_{j+2}y), \forall x, y \in A, \forall i = 1,2,3\}. \tag{1.18}$$

Then, it defines a Lie algebra now with component-wise commutation relation (]K-O.15]).

Here, $s \circ\ Lrt(A)$ stands for symmetric Lie-related triple, which has been reformed to as stri(A) in [O.05] instead. To see this symmetric Lie- related triple, if we set

$$t_j^{'} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \alpha_{j-k} t_k,\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{1.19}$$

for any $\alpha_j \in F$ satisfying $\alpha_{j\pm 3} = \alpha_j$, then it is easy to verify that we have also

$$t^{'} = (t_1^{'}, t_2^{'}, t_3^{'}) \in s \circ Lrt(A). \tag{1.20}$$

If the exponential map $t_j \to \xi_j$ is given by

$$\xi_j = \exp(t_j) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (t_j)^n, \tag{1.21}$$

is well defined, then we have shown in [K-O.15] that the validity of

$$\xi_j(xy) = (\xi_{j+1}x)(\xi_{j+2}y), \tag{1.22}$$

provided that $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in s \circ Lrt(A)$ and vice-versa.

Note that the existence ot the exponantial map requires the underlying field F to be at least of zero charachteristic.

We first introduce multiplication operators $L(x), R(x) \in End\ A$ by

$$L(x)y = xy,\ R(x)y = yx \tag{1.23}$$

as usual. Then, Eq.(1.17) yields

$$t_j L(x) = L(x)t_{j+2} + L(t_{j+1}x), \tag{1.24a}$$

$$t_j R(y) = R(y)t_{j+1} + R(t_{j+2}y) \tag{1.24b}$$

while Eq.(1.1) gives

$$g_j L(x) = L(g_{j+1}x)g_{j+2}, \tag{1.25a}$$

$$g_j R(y) = R(g_{j+2}y)g_{j+1} \tag{1.25b}$$

any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in Trig(A)$.

From Eqs.(1.25), we find

$$g_j L(x)R(y)g_j^{-1} = L(g_{j+1}x)R(g_{j+1}y) \tag{1.26a}$$

$$g_j R(y)L(x)g_j^{-1} = R(g_{j+2}y)L(g_{j+2}x). \tag{1.26b}$$

We next introduce the notion of a regular triality algebra.

Def.1.1

Let $d_j(x, y) \in End(A)$ for $x, y \in A$ and for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be to satisfy

(i)

$$d_1(x, y) = R(y)L(x) - R(x)L(y), \tag{1.27a}$$

$$d_2(x, y) = L(y)R(x) - L(x)R(y). \tag{1.27b}$$

(ii) The explicit form for $d_3(x,y)$ is unspecified except for

$$d_3(y,x) = -d_3(x,y) \tag{1.27c}$$

(iii) $(d_[(x,y), d_2(x,y), d_3(x,y)) \in s \circ Lrt(A)$, i.e., they satisfy

$$d_j(x,y)(uv) = (d_{j+1}(x,y)u)v + u(d_{j+2}(x,y)v) \tag{1.28}$$

for any $x, y, u, v \in A$ and for any $j = 1, 2, 3$. here the index over j is defined modulo 3 as before.

We call the algebra A satisfying these conditions to be a regular triality algebra. ([K-O.15])

For the case of Lie algebra A equipped with the product $[x,y]$ as usual, if we set

$$d_1(x,y) = R(y)L(x) - R(x)L(y),$$

$$d_2(x,y) = L(y)R(x) - L(x)R(y),$$

$$d_3(x,y) = d_0(x.y) = L([x,y]),$$

where $L(x)y = [x,y]$ and $R(x)y = [y,x]$, then we get

$$d_j = L([x,y]) \text{ for any } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Thus this implies that $d_j{}'s$ is a inner derivation of A. (i.e., a special example of the Def.1.1).

Def.1.2

Condition (B): We have $AA = A$.

Condition (C): If some $b \in A$ satisfies either $L(b) = 0$, or $R(b) = 0$, then $b = 0$.
We can now prove.

Proposition 1.3

Let A be a regular triality algebra satisfying either the condition (B) or (C). We then obtain the followings:
(i) For any $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in s \circ Lrt(A)$, we have

$$[t_j, d_k(x,y)] = d_k(t_{j-k}x, y) + d_k(x, t_{j-k}y). \tag{1.29a}$$

Especially, if we choose $t_j = d_j(u,v)$, it yields also

$$[d_j(u,v), d_k(x,y)] = d_k(d_{j-k}(u,v)x, y) + d_k(x, d_{j-k}(u,v)y). \tag{1.29b}$$

(ii) For any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in Trig(A)$, we have

$$g_j d_k(x,y) g_j^{-1} = d_k(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y) \tag{1.30}$$

for any $j, k = 1, 2, 3$ and for any $u, v, x, y \in A$,

Proof

Since Eqs.(1.29) have been already proved in [K-O,15], we will give only a proof of Eq.(1.30) below. In view of Eq.(1.26) and (1.27), we see that Eq.(1.30) holds valid for any $j = 1, 2, 3$ and for $k = 1, 2$. Therefore, it suffices to prove of the case of $k = 3$. To this end, we set

$$D_{j,k} :\equiv g_j d_k(x, y) g_j^{-1} - d_k(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y) \tag{1.31}$$

for a fixed $x, y \in A$. Then, as we have noted, we have $D_{j,1} = D_{j,2} = 0$ identically. Moreover, we will show that it satisfies also

$$D_{j,k}(uv) = (D_{j+1,k+1}u)v + u(D_{j+2,k+2}v). \tag{1.32}$$

We first calculate

$$g_j d_k(x, y) g_j^{-1}(uv) = g_j d_k(x, y)\{(g_{j+1}^{-1}u)(g_{j+2}^{-1}v)\} =$$

$$g_j(\{d_{k+1}(x, y)(g_{j+1}^{-1}u)\}(g_{j+2}^{-1}v)) + g_j((g_{j+1}^{-1}u)(d_{k+2}(x, y)g_{j+2}^{-1}v))$$

$$= g_{j+1}(d_{k+1}(x, y)(g_{j+1}^{-1}u))g_{j+2}(g_{j+2}^{-1}v)) + (g_{j+1}g_{j+1}^{-1}u)(g_{j+2}d_{k+2}(x, y)g_{j+2}^{-1}v)$$

$$= g_{j+1}(d_{k+1}(x, y)g_{j+1}^{-1}u)v + u(g_{j+2}d_{k+2}(x, y)g_{j+2}^{-1}v).$$

Similarly, we find

$$\{d_k(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y)\}(uv) =$$

$$\{d_{k+1}(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y)u\}v + u\{d_{k+2}(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y)v\}$$

and these prove the validity of Eq.(1.32), since

$$g_j d_k(x, y) g_j^{-1}(uv) - d_k(g_{j-k}x, g_{j-k}y)(uv) =$$

$$(D_{j+1,k+1}u)v * u(D_{j+2.j*2}v).$$

If we choose $k = 3$ in Eq.(1.32), we obtain

$$D_{j,3}(uv) = (D_{j+1,1}u)v + u(D_{j+2,2}v) = 0$$

which gives $D_{j,3} = 0$, provided that the condition (B) holds.
On the other side, the choice of $k = 1$ or $k = 2$ in Eq.(1.32) yields

$$0 = u(D_{j+2,3}v) = (D_{j+1,3}u)v$$

for any $j = 1, 2, 3$ and for any $u, v \in A$. Therefore, it also gives $D_{j,3} = 0$ under the condition (C) . This completes the proof. □

We are now in position that we can construct a invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$ as follow:

Let A be a regular triality algebra satisfying either condition (B) or (C), and set

$$L_0 = span < d_j(x, y), \forall x, y \in A, \forall j = 1, 2, 3 > . \tag{1.33}$$

Then L_0 is a Lie algebra by Eq.(1.29b). Moreover, it is an ideal of the larger Lie algebra $s \circ Lrt(A)$ by Eq.(1.29a). For any basis $e_1, e_2 \cdots, e_N$ of A with $N = Dim\ A$, and for any $\alpha_{j,\mu,\nu} \in F(j = 1, 2, 3,\ \mu,\ \nu = 1, 2, \cdots, N)$, we set

$$D_j = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \alpha_{j-k,\mu,\nu} d_k(e_\mu, e_\nu) \in L_0 \tag{1.34}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $D = (D_1, D_2, D_3)$ is a member of $s \circ Lrt(A)$ by Eq.(1.29). Thereofore its exponential map

$$\xi_j = exp\ D_j\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{1.35}$$

satisfies $\xi_j(xy) = (\xi_{j+1}x)(\xi_{j+2}y)$, i.e., $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in Trig(A)$, provided that the exponential map is well-defined. Moreover, for any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in Trig(A)$. We calculate

$$g_j D_k g_j^{-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{3} \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{N} \alpha_{k=l,\mu,\nu}\{d_l(g_{j-l}e_\mu, e_\nu) + d_j(e_\mu, g_{j-l}e_\nu)\} \in L_0 \tag{1.36}$$

by Eq.(1.30), so that

$$g_j \xi_k g_j^{-1} = g_j(exp\ D_k)g_j^{-1} = exp(g_j D_k g_j^{-1}) \in exp\ L_0. \tag{1.37}$$

Thereofre, the group G_0 generated by $< \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 >$ is a invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$.

Remark 1.4

If a regular triality algebra satisfies Eqs.(1.27) as well as

$$d_3(x, y)z + d_3(y, z)x + d_3(z, x)y = 0, \tag{1.38}$$

then A is known as a pre-normal triality algebra([K-O.15]). Moreover, if we have

$$Q(x, y, z) = d_1(z, xy) + d_2(y, zx) + d_3(x, yz) = 0 \tag{1.39}$$

in addition, A is called a normal triality algebra in [K-O.15] also.

Further, suppose that A is involutive with the involution map $x \to \bar{x}$ as in Eq.(1.10) and intoroduce the second bi-linear product in the same vector space of A by

$$x * y = \overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}. \tag{1.40}$$

Then, the resulting algebra A^* is also involutive, this algebra A^* is called a conjugation algebra of A. Furthermore, we note that a structurable algebra introduced by Allison([A.78],[A-F.93]) is in a class of the conjugation algebra A^* of the normal triality algebra A and this nonassociative algebra A^* contains associative, commutative Jordan and alternative algebras([K-O.15]).

For a Lie algebra $(A,\ [\ ,\ ])$, setting $\bar{x} = -x$, from $x * y = \overline{[x, y]}$, we have

$$\overline{[x, y]} = -[x, y] = [y, x] = [\bar{y}, \bar{x}],$$

$$\overline{x * y} = [x, y] = \overline{[\bar{y}, \bar{x}]} = \bar{y} * \bar{x}.$$

Hence these imply that A and A^* are involutive with respect to the $\bar{x} = -x$.

If A^* is unital (i.e., there is an element $e \in A^*$ such that $e * x = x * e = x$ for any $x \in A^*$) and A is a normal triality algebra, then this algebra A^* is structurable and both conditions (B) and (C) are automatically satisfied (to see, [K-O.14]).

Finally, Eq.(1.1) is rewritten as a modified global triality relation of

$$\bar{g}_j(x * y) = (g_{j+1}x) * (g_{j+2}y). \tag{1.41}$$

Reviewing these results, we can construct a invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$ from any regular triality algebra A satisfying condition (B) or (C), in particular from any structurable algebra A^*. However, for some cases, we can more directly construct some invariant subgroup of $Trig(A)$, as it happenes for the case of A being a symmetric composition algebra. The case will be explored in the next section, while we will study its relation to the corresponding $s \circ Lrt(A)$ in section 3. If we further restrict ourselves to the automorphism group, then we can also construct some explict automorphism of the Cayley algebra. which we will study in section 4. Finally, other case of $Trig(A)$ for several algebras A with involution will be given in section 5.

2 Symmetric Composition Algebra

This section is devoted for constructions of $Trig(A)$ for symmetric composition algebras. Let A be an algebra over a field F of characteristic not 2 with a symmetric bi-linear non-degenerate form $< \cdot|\cdot >$. Suppose that it satisfies

$$(xy)x = x(yx) =< x|x > y \tag{2.1}$$

for any $x, y \in A$. Then A is called a symmetric composition algebra, since it also satisfies the composition law

$$< xy|xy >=< x|x >< y|y > \text{ and } < xy|z >=< x|yz > . \tag{2.2}$$

Conversely, the validity of Eq.(2.2) implies that of Eq.(2.1) ([O,95]).

Linearizing Eq.(2.1), it gives

$$(xy)z + (zy)x = x(yz) + z(yx) = 2 < x|z > y \tag{2.3}$$

for any $x, y, z \in A$. Moreover if we replace z by yz in the first relation of Eq.(2.3) and note Eq.(2.2), it yields

$$(xy)(yz) = 2 < x|yz > y - < y|y > zx. \tag{2.4}$$

Also, for any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in Trig(A)$, we have

$$< g_j x|g_j y >=< x|y > \ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{2.5}$$

by the following reason. Applying g_j to both sides of Eq.(2.1), it gives

$$< x|x > g_j y = g_j\{x(yx)\} = (g_{j+1}x)(g_{j+2}(yx)) =$$

$$(g_{j+1}x)\{(g_j y)(g_{j+1}x)\} =< g_{j+1}x|g_{j+1}x > g_j y$$

so that we have $< x|x >=< g_{j+1}x|g_{j+1}x >$. Linearizing the relation, and letting $j \to j-1$, we obtain then Eq.(2.5). Similarly, any $t_j \in s \circ Lrt(A)$ satisfies

$$< t_j x|y >= - < x|t_j y > \ (j = 1, 2, 3), \tag{2.6}$$

by the relations $t_j(x(yx)) =< x|x > t_j(y)$ and $< x|t_j(x) >= 0$.

Any symmetric composition algebra is known (see [O-O.81],[E.97], [K-M-R-T.98]) to be either a para-Hurwitz algebra or 8-dimensional pseudo-octonion algebra where the

para-Hurwitz algebra is the conjugate algebra of Hurwitz algebra with the para-unit e satisfying $ex = xe = \bar{x} = 2 < e|x > e - x$. That is, we emphasize that quaternion and Cayley algebras with the product $x * y$ have a structure of a symmetric composition algebra with respect to new product $xy = \overline{x * y}$, where $\bar{x}$ is the standard conjugation of x. Moreover, the symmetric composition algebra is a normal triality algebra ([O.05]). Further, conditions (B)and (C) of section 1 are automatically satisfied by this algebra. Since $< \circ|\circ >$ is assumed to be non-degenerate, there exists $x \in A$ satisfying $< x|x > \neq 0$. Now Eq.(2.1) implies $< x|x > y \in AA$ for any element $y \in A$, so that we have $AA = A$. If $b \in A$ satisfies $bA = 0$. then Eq.(2.1) also leads to $< x|x > b = 0$ and here $b = 0$. Therefore both conditions (B) and (C) are automatically satisfied. Especially, any symmetric composition algebra is a regular triality algebra satisfying both conditions (B) and (C) (see Remark 1.4). Thus, we can construct some invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$ as has been demonstrated in the previous sections. However, for special cases of $Dim\ A = 1$ and $Dim\ A = 2$, we can construct entire $Trig(A)$ as follows.

Example 2.1. Case of $Dim\ A = 1$

We write $A = Fe$, where $e \in A$ satisfies $ee = e$ with $< e|e >= 1$. Then for any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{Trig}(A)$, we can write

$$g_j(e) = \alpha_j e,\ (j = 1, 2, 3)$$

for some $\alpha_j = \alpha_{j+1}\alpha_{j+2}$ while Eq.(2.5) yields $(\alpha_j)^2 = 1$. Therefore, there gives

$$\alpha_1^2 = \alpha_2^2 = \alpha_3^2 = 1 \text{ and } \alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 = 1$$

so that $\mathrm{Trig}(Fe)$ is isomorphic to the Klein's 4-group K_4. Note that we have $\mathrm{Auto}(Fe) =< Id >$ being trivial.

Example 2.2. Case of $Dim\ A = 2$

In this case, $A = \mathrm{span} < e, f >$ where $e, f \in A$ satisfy

$$ee = e,\ ff = -e,\ ef = fe = -f \tag{2.7a}$$

$$< e|e >=< f|f >= 1,\ < e|f >=< f|e >= 0. \tag{2.7b}$$

Note that A is Abelian, and any $x, y \in A$ satisfies the quadratic relation of

$$xy = yx = - < e|x > y - < e|y > x + (4 < e|x >< e|y > - < x|y >)e. \tag{2.8}$$

Note also that e is the para-unit of A, i.e.,

$$ex = xe = \bar{x} = 2 < e|x > e - x. \tag{2.9}$$

Let us write

$$p_j = g_j(e), q_j = g_j(f),\ (j = 1, 2, 3). \tag{2.10}$$

Then, the global triality relation Eq.(1.1) is equivalent to the validity of

$$p_{j+1}p_{j+2} = p_j,\ p_{j+1}q_{j+2} = q_{j+1}p_{j+2} = -q_j,\ q_{j+1}q_{j+2} = -p_j \tag{2.11}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$ where the indices over j are defined modulo 3 i.e., $p_{j\pm 3} = p_j, q_{j\pm 3} = q_j$. Also, Eqs.(2.5) and (2.7b) give

$$< p_j|p_j >=< q_j|q_j >= 1,\ < p_j|q_j >= 0,\ (j = 1, 2, 3). \tag{2.12}$$

The general solution of Eqs.(2.11) and (2.12) is given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.3

Let $q_j \in A$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ be any three element of A satisfying

$$< q_1|q_1 >=< q_2|q_2 >=< q_3|q_3 >= 1, \text{ and } < q_3|q_1q_2 >= 0. \tag{2.13}$$

If we introduce p_j by

$$p_j = -q_{j+1}q_{j+2}, \tag{2.14}$$

then they satisfy Eqs.(2.11) and (2.12). Conversly, if p_j and q_j satisfy Eqs¿(2.11) and (2.12), then Eq.(2.13) holds.

Proof

We first note that $< q_3|q_1q_2 >= 0$ implies the validity also of

$$< q_j|q_{j+1}q_{j+2} >= 0 \tag{2.15}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, 3$, because of the associate law $< xy|z >=< x|yz >$.

Suppose now that Eq.(2.13) with Eq.(2.14) holds: We calculate then

$$p_{j+1}q_{j+2} = -(q_{j+2}q_j)q_{j+2} = - < q_{j+2}|q_{j+2} > q_j = -q_j$$

$$q_{j+1}p_{j+2} = -q_{j+1}(q_jq_{j+1}) = - < q_{j+1}|q_{j+1} > q_j = -q_j.$$

Finally, we compute

$$p_{j+1}p_{j+2} = (q_{j+2}q_j)(q_jq_{j+1}) = 2 < q_{j+2}|q_jq_{j+1} > q_j - < q_j|q_j > q_{j+1}q_{j+2}$$

by Eq.(2.4) so that we find

$$p_{j+1}p_{j+2} = -q_{j+1}q_{j+2} = p_j$$

because of Eq.(2.14), proving Eq.(2.11). Moreover

$$< p_j|p_j >=< q_{j+1}q_{j+2}|q_{j+1}q_{j+2} >=< q_{j+1}|q_{j+1} >< q_{j+2}|q_{j+2} >= 1$$

and

$$< q_j|p_j >= - < q_j|q_{j+1}q_{j+2} >= 0$$

which establishes Eq.(2.12). Conversely, if $< p_j|q_j >= 0$ with $p_j = -q_{j+1}q_{j+2}$, then they lead to $< q_j|q_{j+1}q_{j+2} >= 0$ or $< q_3|q_1q_2 >= 0$.□

Remark 2.4(a)

If we write

$$q_j = \mu_j e + \nu_j f,\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{2.16a}$$

for $\mu_j, \nu_j \in F$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$, then Eq.(2.13) gives

$$\mu_j^2 + \nu_j^2 = 1,\ \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3 = \mu_1\nu_2\nu_3 + \mu_2\nu_3\nu_1 + \mu_3\nu_1\nu_2 \tag{2.16b}$$

while Eq. (2.14) for

$$p_j = \alpha_j e + \beta_j f \tag{2.17}$$

these will leads to

$$-\alpha_j = \mu_{j+1}\mu_{j+2} - \nu_{j+1}\nu_{j+2}, \tag{2.18a}$$

$$-\beta_j = -(\mu_{j+1}\nu_{j+2} + \nu_{j+1}\mu_{j+2}). \tag{2.18b}$$

Especially, Eq.(2.16) allows two indepent variables in whose terms other μ_j and ν_j are determined. Thus, if the field F is either real or complete filed, then the resultained group manifold $TrigA$ will become some 2-dimensional real or complex manifold. However, when F is a finite field, then $Trig(A)$ will become to a finite goup. Also, it may be of some interest to see that $\alpha_j =< e|p_j >$ and $\beta_j =< f|p_j >$ satisfy polynomial identities of

$$2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3 - (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_3^2) + 1 = 0, \tag{2.19a}$$

$$(\beta_1^2 + \beta_1^2 + \beta_3^2)^2 + 4(\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3)^2 = 4\{\beta_1^2\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2\beta_3^2 + \beta_3^2\beta_1^2\}. \tag{2.19b}$$

However, since its relevance is not clear, we will not go into its detail.

Next we consider for $s \circ Lrt(A)$, any $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in s \circ Lrt(A)$ is given similarly by

$$t_j e = \lambda_j f,\ t_j f = -\lambda_j e\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{2.20a}$$

for some $\lambda_j \in F$ satisfying

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0. \tag{2.20b}$$

Remark 2.4 (b) *Auto* (A) with $Dim\ A = 2$

In contrast to $Trig(A)$, $Auto(A)$ for the case of $Dim\ A = 2$ turns out to be always a finite group of either Z_2 if $\sqrt{3} \notin F$ or S_3 if $\sqrt{3} \in F$. Note that $Auto(A)$ is a special case of $Trig(A)$ for $g_1 = g_2 = g_3 (= \sigma)$ with

$$\sigma(xy) = (\sigma x)(\sigma y), \tag{2.21a}$$

Setting $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p$, and $q_1 = q_2 = q_3 = q$, then we have

$$\sigma(e) = p,\ \sigma(f) = q \tag{2.21b}$$

and Eq.(2.13) becomes

$$< q|q >= 1,\ < q|q^2 >= 0 \tag{2.22}$$

while Eq.(2.14) determines p by

$$p = -qq. \tag{2.23}$$

Setting $x = y = q$ in Eq.(2.8), we find

$$q^2 = -2 < e|q > q + (4 < e|q >< e|q > - < q|q >)e \tag{2.24}$$

so that Eq.(2.22) gives

$$0 =< q|q^2 >= -2 < e|q >< q|q > +(4 < e|q >< e|q > - < q|q >) < e|q >$$

$$=< e|q > \{4 < e|q >< e|q > -3\}, \tag{2.25}$$

whose solutions are

(i)

$$< e|q >= 0 \tag{2.26a}$$

or

(ii)

$$< e|q >= \pm\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \tag{2.26b}$$

assuming $\sqrt{3} \in F$ for the 2nd solution if Eq.(2.26b).

In terms of e and f, then these imply.

Case 1

$\sigma : e \to e,\ f \to \epsilon f,\ (\epsilon = \pm 1)$

Case 2 (if $\sqrt{3} \in F$)

$\sigma : e \to \frac{1}{2}(-e + \sqrt{3}\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 f_1)$

$f \to \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\epsilon_1 e + \epsilon_2 f)$,

where $\epsilon_1^2 = \epsilon_2^2 = 1$.

Let P and $Q \in \mathrm{Auto}(A)$ be given by

$P : e \to e,\ f \to -f$

$Q : e \to \frac{1}{2}(-e + \sqrt{3}f), \quad f \to \frac{1}{2}(-\sqrt{3}e - f).$

Then, they satisfy

$$P^2 = Q^3 = Id,\ QPQ = P$$

which generate the symmetric group S_3 (or equivalently the dihedral group D_3). Thus, we conclude

$$Auto(A) = \begin{cases} Z_2 =< Id, P >, \text{ if} \sqrt{3} \notin F \\ S_3 =< Id, P, Q, Q^2, PQ, PQ^2 >, \text{ if } \sqrt{3} \in F, \end{cases}$$

which are finite group in contrast to the case of $Trig(A)$ (at least for real or complex field F). Correspondly, we have $Der(A) = 0$, for the derivation assuming $3 \neq 0$.

Other cases of $Dim\ A = 4$ (para-quotanion algebra) and $Dim\ A = 8$ (either para-or pseudo octonion algebra) are unfortunately, too complicated to be discussed in a similar way. However, we can still construct some sub-groups of $Trig(A)$ as follows. For any two element $a, b \in A$ satisfying $< a|a >=< b|b >= 1$, we set $a_1 = a,\ a_2 = b$ and define by $a_3 = a_1 a_2 = ab$. Then, we find

$$a_j a_{j+1} = a_{j+2},\ < a_j|a_j >= 1 \tag{2.27}$$

for any $j = 1, 2, 3$, where the indices over j are defined modulo 3,i.e.,

$$a_{j\pm 3} = a_j.$$

For example, we calculate

$$a_3 a_1 = (a_1 a_2)a_1 =< a_1|a_1 > a_2 = a_2,$$

$$< a_3|a_3 >=< a_1 a_2|a_1 a_2 >=< a_1|a_1 >< a_1|a_2 >= 1$$

etc.

In view of this, we introduce

$$\Sigma = \{a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in A^3 | a_j a_{j+1} = a_{j+2},\ < a_j | a_j >= 1,\ \forall j = 1, 2, 3\}. \tag{2.28}$$

We now prove

Theorem 2.5

Let A be a symmetric composition algebra. For any $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \Sigma$, we set

$$\sigma_j(a) = R(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+2}), \tag{2.29a}$$

$$\theta_j(a) = L(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+1}) \tag{2.29b}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

Then, they satisfy

(i)

$$\sigma_j(a)(xy) = (\sigma_{j+1}(a)x)(\sigma_{j+2}(a)y) \tag{2.30a}$$

(ii)

$$\theta_j(a)(xy) = (\theta_{j+1}(a)x)(\theta_{j+2}(a)y) \tag{2.30b}$$

(iii)

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(a) = \theta_j(a)\sigma_j(a) = Id \tag{2.30c}$$

(iv)

$$\theta_j(a)\theta_{j+1}(a)\theta_{j+2}(a) = \sigma_{j+2}(a)\sigma_{j+1}(a)\sigma_j(a) = Id \tag{2.30d}$$

(v)

$$< \sigma_j(a)x | y >=< x | \theta_j(a)y > \tag{2.30e}$$

(vi)

$$< \sigma_j(a)x | \sigma_j(a)y >=< \theta_j(a)x | \theta_j(a)y) >=< x | y > . \tag{2.30f}$$

Especially, both triples $\sigma(a) = (\sigma_1(a), \sigma_2(a), \sigma_3(a))$ and $\theta(a) = (\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \theta_3(a))$ are elements of $Trig(A)$.

Proof

We will first prove (iii)-(vi) as follows: Eq.(2.1) is rewritten as

$$R(x)L(x) = L(x)R(x) =< x | x > Id \tag{2.31}$$

so that we calculate

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(a) \ = R(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+1}) = R(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+1}) = Id,$$

$$\theta_j(a)\sigma_j(a) = L(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+2} = L(a_{j+2})R(a_{j+2}) = Id$$

which prove Eq.(2.30c). We next also have

$$< \sigma_j(a)x | y >=< R(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+2})x | y >=< (xa_{j+2})a_{j+1} | y >$$

$$=< xa_{j+2} | a_{j+1}y >=< x | a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}y) >=< x | L(a_{j+2}L(a_{j+1})y) =< x | \theta_j(a)y >$$

which is Eq.(2.30e). Then, setting $y \to \theta_j(a)y$ in Eq.(2.30e) and noting Eq.(2.30c), we obtain Eq.(2.30f).

We next rewrite Eqs.(2.29) as

$$\sigma_j(a)(x) = 2 < a_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - a_j x \tag{2.32a}$$

$$\theta_j(a)x = 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_{j+1} - x a_j \tag{2.32b}$$

since we have

$$\sigma_j(a)x = (xa_{j+2})a_{j+1} = 2 < x|a_{j+1} > a_{j+2} - (a_{j+1}a_{j+2})x = 2 < a_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - a_j x$$

and

$$\theta_j(a)x = a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}x) = 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_{j+1} - x(a_{j+1}a_{j+2}) = 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_{j+1} - xa_j$$

in view of Eq.(2.3) and (2.28). We then calculate

$$\sigma_{j+2}(a)\sigma_{j+1}(a)x = 2 < a_j|\sigma_{j+1}(a)x > a_{j+1} - a_{j+2}(\sigma_{j+1}(a)x)$$

$$= 2 < a_j|2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_j - a_{j+1}x > a_{j+1} - a_{j+2}\{2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_j - a_{j+1}x\}$$

$$= \{4 < a_{j+2}|x >< a_j|a_j > -2 < a_j a_{j+1}|x >\}a_{j+1} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}a_j + a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}x)$$

$$= \{4 < a_{j+2}|x > -2 < a_{j+2}|x >\}a_{j+1} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_{j+1} + a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}x) = a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}x)$$

$$= \theta_j(a)x,$$

so that $\sigma_{j+2}(a)\sigma_{j+1}(a) = \theta_j(a)$. Multiplying $\sigma_j(a)$ from the left and noting Eq.(2.30c), we obtain $\sigma_{j+2}(a)\sigma_{j+1}(a)\sigma_j(a) = Id$. If we further multiply $\theta_j(a)\theta_{j+1}(a)\theta_{j+2}(a)$ from the left to this, it also reproduce $\theta_j(a)\theta_{j+1}(a)\theta_{j+2}(a) = Id$, proving Eqs.(.2.30c).

It now remains to prove Eqs.(2.30a) and (2.30b). We calculate

$$(\sigma_j(a)x)(\sigma_{j+1}(a)y) = (\sigma_j(a)x)\{(ya_j)a_{j+2}\} = 2 < \sigma_j(a)x|a_{j+2} > ya_j - a_{j+2}\{(ya_j)(\sigma_j(a)x)\}$$

$$= 2 < x|\theta_j(a)a_{j+2} > ya_j - a_{j+2}\{(ya_j)(2 < x|a_{j+1} > a_{j+2} - a_j x)\}$$

$$= 2 < x|a_{j+2}(a_{j+1}a_{j+2}) > ya_j - 2 < x|a_{j+1} > a_{j+2}\{(ya_j)a_{j+2}\} + a_{j+2}\{(ya_j)(a_j x)\}$$

$$= 2 < x| < a_{j+2}|a_{j+2} > a_{j+1} > ya_j - 2 < x|a_{j+1} > ya_j + a_{j+2}\{2 < y|a_j x > a_j - < a_j|a_j > xy\}$$

$$= 2 < xy|a_j > a_{j+1} - a_{j+2}(xy) = \sigma_{j+2}(a)(xy),$$

where we utilized Eq.(2.4). This proves Eq.(2.30a). If we replace x and y by $\theta_{j+1}(a)x$ and $\theta_{j+1}(a)y$ respectively in Eq.(2.30a), we also find Eq.(2.30b). This completes the proof. □

Noting

$$\sigma(a) = (\sigma_1(a), \sigma_2(a), \sigma_3(a)) \in Trig(A) \tag{2.33a}$$

$$\theta(a) = (\theta_1(a), \theta_2(a), \theta_3(a)) \in Trig(A) \tag{2.33b}$$

by Theorem 2.5, these generate a sub-group of $Trig(A)$. However except for the cases of $DimA = 1$ and $DimA = 2$, it is not a invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$ by the following reason. For any $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3) \in \mathrm{Trig}(A)$, Eqs.(1.25) yield

$$g_j L(x)L(y) = L(g_{j+1}x)g_{j+2}L(y) = L(g_{j+1}x)L(g_j y)g_{j+1}, \tag{2.34a}$$

$$g_j R(x)R(y) = R(g_{j+2}x)g_{j+1}R(y) = R(g_{j+2}x)R(g_j y)g_{j+2} \tag{2.34b}$$

so that

$$g_j\theta_k(a) = L(g_{j+1}a_{k+2})L(g_j a_{k+1})g_{j+1} \tag{2.34c}$$

$$g_j\sigma_k(a) = R(g_{j+2}a_{k+1})R(g_j a_{k+2})g_{j+2}. \tag{2.34d}$$

We next note

$$(g_j a_j)(g_{j+1}a_{j+1}) = g_{j+2}(a_j a_{j+1}) = g_{j+2}a_{j+2}$$

so that we have

$$ga = (g_1a_1, g_2a_2, g_3a_3) \in \Sigma \tag{2.35}$$

Moreover, we have similarly

$$\phi g = (g_2, g_3, g_1) \in Trig(A)$$

$$\phi^2 g = (g_3, g_1, g_2) \in Trig(A)$$

for $\phi : 1 \to 2 \to 3 \to 1$. Then, Eqs.(2.34) are rewritten as

$$g_j\theta_k(a)g_{j+1}^{-1} = \theta_k((\phi^{(j-k-1)}g)a) \tag{2.36a}$$

$$g_j\sigma_k(a)g_{j+2}^{-1} = \sigma_k((\phi^{(j-k+1)}g)a) \tag{2.36b}$$

for any $j, k = 1, 2, 3$. Especially, for $j = k$, we obtain

$$g_j\theta_j(a)g_{j+1}^{-1} = \theta_j((\phi g)a) \tag{2.37a}$$

$$g_j\sigma_j(a)g_{j+2}^{-1} = \sigma_j((\phi^2 g)a). \tag{2.37b}$$

Since the left sides of Eqs.(2.37) are not of a form $g_j \times g_j$, the sub-group G_0 generated by $\sigma_j(a)$ and $\theta_j(a)$ is not in general invariant under $Trig(A)$. However, cases of $DimA = 1$ and $Dim\ A = 2$ are exceptional, since they are Abelian and hence satisfy $R(x) = L(x)$. Then, we can rewrite

$$\sigma_j(a) = R(a_{j+1})R(a_{j+2}) = R(a_{j+1})L(a_{j+2})$$

$$\theta_j(a) = L(a_{j+2})L(a_{j+1}) = L(a_{j+2})R(a_{j+1}).$$

Then, Eqs.(1.26) show that these are invaiant under $Trig(A)$.

Returning to the original problem, we can construct some invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$. Let G be a sub-group generated now by

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(b), \quad and \quad \theta_j(a)\sigma_j(b), \tag{2.38a}$$

or

$$\sigma_j(a)\sigma_j(b)\sigma_j(c), \quad and \quad \theta_j(a)\theta_j(b)\theta_j(c) \tag{2.38b}$$

for any $a, b, c \in \Sigma$. Then, by Eq.(2.37), $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) \in G$ will be transformed by $Trig(A)$ into

$$g_j X_j g_j^{-1} = X_j^{'}$$

for another $X^{'} = (X_1^{'}, X_2^{'}, X_3^{'}) \in G$ so that G is now a invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$.

In the final of this section, for the 8 dimensional pseudo octonion algebra, we note that there is an example of elements in Σ as follows.

By means of the notations and Eqs (4.26) in ([O.95]), we have basis $e_1, \cdots, e_8$ such that

$$e_j e_k = \sum_{l=1}^{8} (\sqrt{3} d_{jkl} \mp f_{jkl}) e_l \quad and \quad < e_i | e_j >= \delta_{ij}.$$

For these special basis e_1, e_2, e_3 in particular the case of + sign in $\mp$, we may obtain the validity;

$$e_1 e_2 = e_3, \quad e_2 e_3 = e_1, \quad e_3 e_1 = e_2,$$

since $d_{12l} = d_{23l} = d_{31l} = 0$, $(l = 1, \cdots, 8)$ and $f_{123} = f_{231} = f_{312} = 1$, $f_{12l} = 0$ $(if\ l \neq 3)$, $f_{23l} = 0$ $(if\ l \neq 1)$, $f_{31l} = 0$ $(if\ l \neq 2)$, (for the numerical values of $d_{jkl}\ and\ f_{jkl}$, and an application to physics, see [G.62]).

Hence this implies that there is an element $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Sigma$ satisfying the assumption in Theorem 2.5. Furthemore, as this algebra is defined by 3x3 matrices, it is easy to see that the transpose of matrix induces an involution with respect to $\overline{x} = {}^t x$.

3 Local Triality Relation

In this section, we will study the relationship between the invariant sub-group of $Trig(A)$ given in section 2 and its local corespondent $s \circ Lrt(A)$ defined as in (1.18).

From now on, we assume that the algebra A is a symmetric composition algebra over the field F characteristic not 2 in Section 3 and Section 4 unless otherwise specifield.

Let

$$\Sigma = \{a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in A^3 | a_j a_{j+1} = a_{j+2}, < a_j | a_j >= 1,\ \forall j = 1, 2, 3\} \tag{3.1}$$

as in Eq.(2.28). For a given $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \Sigma$, we introduce another triple $\Lambda(a)$ by

$$\Lambda(a) = \{p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in A^3 | a_j p_{j+1} + p_j a_{j+1} = p_{j+2}, < p_j | a_j >= 0, \forall j = 1, 2, 3\} \tag{3.2}$$

where the indices over j are defined modulo 3 with $p_{j+3} = p_j$. We note then that $\Lambda(a) =$ span $< p_1, p_2 >$ for p_1, p_2 satisfying $< p_j | a_j >= 0$, only for $j = 1, 2$, as we see below. For any such p_1 and p_2, we define p_3 by

$$p_3 = a_1 p_2 + p_1 a_2,$$

we then calculate

$$< a_3 | p_3 >=< a_3 | a_1 p_2 > + < a_3 | p_1 a_2 >=< a_3 a_1 | p_2 > + < a_2 a_3 | p_1 >$$

$$=< a_2 | p_2 > + < a_1 | p_1 >= 0.$$

$$a_2 p_3 + p_2 a_3 = a_2(a_1 p_2 + p_1 a_2) + p_2(a_1 a_2) = 2 < a_2 | p_2 > a_1 + < a_2 | a_2 > p_1 = p_1,$$

and

$$a_3 p_1 + p_3 a_1 = (a_1 a_2) p_1 + (a_1 p_2 + p_1 a_2) a_1$$

$$= (a_1 a_2) p_1 + (p_1 a_2) a_1 + (a_1 p_2) a_1 = 2 < a_1 | p_1 > a_2 + < a_1 | a_1 > p_2 = p_2,$$

so that $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \in A(a)$. Note that $\Lambda(a)$ is then a vector space over the field F in contrast to $\sum$.

Moreover, we further define $q_j \in A$ by

$$q_j = a_{j+1}p_{j+2} = p_j - p_{j+1}a_{j+2}. \tag{3.3}$$

We then find

Lemma 3.1

Under the assumption as in above, we have $q = (q_1, q_2, q_3) \in \Lambda(p)$. *Moreover,* p_j *is computed conversely by*

$$p_j = q_{j+1}a_{j+2} = q_j - a_{j+1}q_{j+2}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof

We first note

$$< q_j|a_j >=< a_{j+1}p_{j+2}|a_{j+1}a_{j+2} >=< a_{j+1}|a_{j+1} >< p_{j+2}|a_{j+2} >= 0.$$

Also, we calculate

$$q_{j+1}a_{j+2} = (a_{j+2}p_{j+3})a_{j+2} =< a_{j+2}|a_{j+2} > p_{j+3} = p_j.$$

Finally, we find

$$a_jq_{j+1} + q_ja_{j+1} = a_j(a_{j+2}p_{j+3}) + (a_{j+1}p_{j+2})a_{j+1}$$
$$= 2 < a_j|p_j > a_{j+2} - p_j(a_{j+2}a_j) + < a_{j+1}|a_{j+1} > p_{j+2}$$
$$= -p_ja_{j+1} + p_{j+2} = q_{j+2}.$$

These prove Lemmea 3.1.□

Theorem 3.2

For any $a \in \Sigma$ *and* $p \in \Lambda(a)$, *if we introduce* $D_j(a, p) \in End\ A$ *by*

$$D_j(a, p)x = (p_{j+1}x)a_{j+1} + a_j(xq_j). \tag{3.5}$$

Then, they satisfy

$$D_j(a, p)(xy) = (D_{j+1}(a, p)x)y + x(D_{j+2}(a, p)y) \tag{3.6}$$

so that

$$D(a, p) = (D_1(a, p), D_2(a, p), D_3(a, p)) \in s \circ Lrt(A).$$

For a proof of this theorem, we require

Lemma 3.3

Under the assumption as in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$D_j(a, p)x = 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > q_{j+2} + a_j(xp_j) \tag{3.7a}$$

$$= 2 < p_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > p_{j+2} + (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}. \tag{3.7b}$$

Proof

We calculate

$$a_j(xq_j) = a_j\{xp_j - x(p_{j+1}a_{j+2})\} = a_j(xp_j) - a_j\{2 < x|a_{j+2} > p_{j+1} - a_{j+2}(p_{j+1}x)\}$$

$$= a_j(xp_j) - 2 < x|a_{j+2} > a_jp_{j+1} + a_j\{a_{j+2}(p_{j+1}x)\}$$

$$= a_j(xp_j) - 2 < x|a_{j+2} > a_jp_{j+1} + 2 < a_j|p_{j+1}x > a_{j+2} - (p_{j+1}x)(a_{j+2}a_j)$$

$$= a_j(xp_j) - 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2} + 2 < a_jp_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - (p_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

$$= a_j(xp_j) - 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2} + 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - (p_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

so that we obtain

$$D_j(a,p)x = a_j(xq_j) + (p_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

$$= a_j(xp_j) - 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2} + 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}$$

which is Eq.(3.7a). In order to show Eq.(3.7b), we note

$$a_j(xp_j) = a_j\{x(q_{j+1}a_{j+2})\} = a_j\{2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+1} - a_{j+2}(q_{j+1}x)\}$$

$$= 2 < x|a_{j+2} > a_jq_{j+1} - a_j\{a_{j+2}(q_{j+1}x)\}. \tag{3.8}$$

However, by $q_{j+1} = a_{j+2}p_j$, we further compute

$$a_jq_{j+1} = a_j(a_{j+2}p_j) = 2 < a_j|p_j > a_{j+2} - p_j(a_{j+2}a_j) = -p_ja_{j+1}$$

and

$$a_j\{a_{j+2}(q_{j+1}x)\} = 2 < a_j|q_{j+1}x > a_{j+2} - (q_{j+1}x)(a_{j+2}a_j)$$

$$= 2 < a_jq_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

$$= -2 < p_ja_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

so that Eq.(3.8) becomes

$$D_j(a,p)x = 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > q_{j+2}$$

$$-2 < x|a_{j+2} > p_ja_{j+1} + 2 < p_ja_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} + (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

$$= 2 < (q_{j+2} + p_ja_{j+1})|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > (q_{j+2} + p_ja_{j+1}) + (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

$$= 2 < p_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > p_{j+2} + (q_{j+1}x)a_{j+1}$$

which is Eq.(3.7b). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3□

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.2. We calculate

$$(D_j(a,p)x)y + x(D_{j+1}(a,p)y)$$

$$= \{2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > q_{j+2} + a_j(xp_j)\}y$$

$$+x\{2 < p_{j+3}|y > a_{j+3} - 2 < a_{j+3}|y > p_{j+3} + (q_{j+2}y)a_{j+2}\}$$

$$= 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}y - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > q_{j+2}y + \{a_j(xp_j)\}y$$

$$+2 < p_j|y > xa_j - 2 < a_j|y > xp_j + x\{(q_{j+2}y)a_{j+2}\}.$$

However, we note

$$\{a_j(xp_j\}y = 2 < a_j|y > xp_j - \{y(xp_j)\}a,$$
$$= 2 < a_j|y > xp_j - \{2 < y|p_j > x - p_j(xy)\}a_j$$
$$= 2 < a_j|y > xp_j - 2 < y|p_j > xa_j + \{p_j(xy)\}a_j$$

and

$$x\{(q_{j+2}y)a_{j+2}\} = 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2}y - a_{j+2}\{(q_{j+2}y)x\}$$
$$= 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2}y - a_{j+2}\{2 < q_{j+2}|x > y - (xy)q_{j+2}\}$$
$$= 2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2}y - 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}y + a_{j+2}\{(xy)q_{j+2}\}$$

so that we get

$$\{D_j(a,p)x\}y + x\{D_{j+1}(a,p)y\}$$
$$= 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}y - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > q_{j+2}y + 2 < a_j|y > xp_j - 2 < y|p_j > xa_j$$
$$+\{p_j(xy)\}a_j + 2 < p_j|y > xa_j - 2 < a_j|y > xp_j$$
$$+2 < x|a_{j+2} > q_{j+2}y - 2 < q_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2}y + a_{j+2}\{(xy)q_{j+2}\}$$
$$= \{p_j(xy)\}a_j + a_{j+2}\{(xy)q_{j+2}\} = D_{j+2}(a,p)(xy).$$

Letting $j \to j+1$, this proves Theorem 3.2.□

For an example of $\Lambda(a)$ in the case of 8 dimensional pseudo octonion algebra, if $a = (e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Sigma$ as in the end of section 2, then we note

$$p = (e_8, e_8, e_1 + e_2) \in \Lambda(a),$$

since $e_1e_8 + e_8e_2 = e_1 + e_2$ and $< e_8|e_1 * e_2 >$, etc.

Next, for another proof of Theorem 3.2, we will show that $D_j(a,p)$ may be regarded as a local sum of some element of $\sigma_j(x)\theta_j(y)$ which generate the invariant sub-group of $\mathrm{Trig}(A)$ as we noted in the previous section. For this purpose we temporaly assume that the field F is either real or complex field with a formal infinitesimal variable $\varepsilon \in F$ with $|\varepsilon| << 1$. We heuristically proceed as follows.

Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3),\ b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \Sigma$ and suppose that $b \in \Sigma$ is infinitesimally close to $a \in \Sigma$, and we write

$$b_j = a_j + \varepsilon p_j + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{3.9}$$

for some $p_j \in A$. Then, the condition $b_jb_{j+1} = b_{j+2}$ with $< b_j|b_j >= 1$ is equivalent to have $p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \Lambda(a)$ with $< a_j|p_j >= 0$ and we calculate

$$\theta_j(b)x = \theta_j(a)x + \varepsilon\{2 < p_{j+2}|x > a_{j+1} + 2 < a_{j+2}|x > p_{j+1} - xp_j\} + O(\varepsilon^2)$$

so that we can write

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(b)x = x + \varepsilon Dx + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{3.10a}$$

for some $D \in \mathrm{End}(A)$ defined by

$$Dx = 2 < p_{j+2}|x > \sigma_j(a)a_{j+1} + 2 < a_{j+2}|x > \sigma_j(a)p_{j+1} - \sigma_j(a)(xp_j). \tag{3.10b}$$

We calculate

$$\sigma_j(a)a_{j+1} = (a_{j+1}a_{j+2})a_{j+1} = a_ja_{j+1} = a_{j+2}$$

$$\sigma_j(a)p_{j+1} = (p_{j+1}a_{j+2})a_{j+1} = (p_j - a_{j+1}p_{j+2})a_{j+1}$$
$$= p_j a_{j+1} - < a_{j+1}|a_{j+1} > p_{j+2} = p_j a_{j+1} - p_{j+2} = -a_j p_{j+1}$$

so that

$$Dx = 2 < p_{j+2}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_j p_{j+1}$$
$$-\{2 < a_{j+1}|xp_j > a_{j+2} - a_j(xp_j)\}$$
$$= 2 < (p_{j+2} - p_j a_{j+1})|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_j p_{j+1} + a_j(xp_j)$$
$$= 2 < a_j p_{j+1}|x > a_{j+2} - 2 < a_{j+2}|x > a_j p_{j+1} + a_j(xp_j) = D_j(a,p)x.$$

Therefore, Eqs.(2.20) are rewrite as

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(b) = 1 + \varepsilon D_j(a,p) + O(\varepsilon^2) \tag{3.11}$$

for $D_j(a,p)$ defined by Eq.(3.5) or (3.7).

Then, since $\sigma(a)\theta(b) \in Trig(A)$, we have

$$\sigma_j(a)\theta_j(b)(xy) = (\sigma_{j+1}(a)\theta_{j+1}(b)x)y + x(\sigma_{j+2}(a)\theta_{j+2}(b)y)$$

which implies

$$\{1+\varepsilon D_j(a,p)+O(\varepsilon^2)\}(xy) = (\{1+\varepsilon D_{j+1}(a,p)+O(\varepsilon^2)\}x)y + x\{1+\varepsilon D_{j+2}(a,b)+O(\varepsilon^2)\}y$$

and hence

$$D_j(a,p)(xy) = (D_{j+1}(a,p)x)y + x(D_{j+2}(a,p)y),$$

is given an alternative heuristic approach in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

We note that all relations is given so far as invariant under the action of ϕ defined by

$$\phi : a_j \to a_{j+1},\ p_j \to p_{j+1},\ q_j \to q_{j+1}, \tag{3.12}$$

which leads to

$$D_j(a,p) \to D_{j+1}(a,p).$$

Next, let us consider the relation between $D_j(a,p)$ and the normal triality map $d_j(x,y)$ defined by

$$d_1(x,y) = R(y)L(x) - R(x)L(y) \tag{3.13a}$$
$$d_2(x,y) = L(y)R(x) - L(x)R(y) \tag{3.13b}$$

while $d_3(x,y)$ for the symmetric composition algebra is given by ([O,05])

$$d_3(x,y)z = 4\{< x|z > y - < y|z > x\}. \tag{3.13c}$$

If we choose $p_3 = 0$, this leads to $q_1 = 0,\ q_2 = p_2 = a_3 p_1$ and $q_3 = a_1 p_2 = -p_1 a_2$ by Eqs.(3.2),(3.3) and (3.4). For any two arbitary constants $\alpha, \beta \in F$ with $\beta \neq 0$, we set then

$$u = \frac{1}{2\beta}(p_2 + \alpha a_2),\ v = \beta a_2. \tag{3.14}$$

Then, we can readily verify the validity of

$$D_j(a,p) = d_j(u,v). \tag{3.15}$$

Similarly, if we choose $p_1 = 0$ or $p_2 = 0$ and note Eq.(3.12), this also leads to another identity smilar to Eq.(3.15). Then, the original $D_j(a,p)$ can be expressed as a linear combinations of these $d_j(u,v)'s$ for $j = 1,2,3$.

For the symmetric composition algebra, $d_j(x,y)$ given by Eqs.(3.13) satisfy a cubic equation

$$(d_j(x,y))^3 = \Delta(x,y)d_j(x,y),\ (j=1,2,3) \tag{3.16a}$$

$$\Delta(x,y) = 4(< x|y >< x|y > - < x|x >< y|y >) \tag{3.16b}$$

as we may readily verify. As a matter of fact, for the specal case of $j = 1,2$, we have a stronger relation of

$$(d_j(x,y))^2 = \Delta(x,y)Id,\ (j=1,2). \tag{3.17}$$

Then, its exponential map can be computed to be

$$\exp\{\lambda d_j(x,y)\} =$$

$$1 + \frac{sinh(\lambda\sqrt{\Delta(x,y)}}{\sqrt{\Delta(x,y)}}d_j(x,y) + \frac{1}{\Delta(x,y)}\{cosh(\lambda\sqrt{\Delta(x,y)}) - 1\}(d_j(x,y)^2. \tag{3.18}$$

However, it is harder to be evaluate $\exp\{\sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j D_j(a,p)\},\ \ \lambda_j \in F$ in a similar way.

4 Automorphism Group of symmetric composition algebras

In this section, we will discuss the automorphisms of the composition symmetric algebra, in particular, for the Cayley algebra,

Let $a \in A$ be a non-trivial idempotent of a symmetric composition algebra A, so that

$$aa = a,\ < a|a >= 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Then, the triple (a,a,a) is a element of $\sum$ in (2.28) with $\sigma_1(a) = \sigma_2(a) = \sigma_3(a)$ and $\theta_1(a) = \theta_2(a) = \theta_3(a)$. In that case, Theorem 2.5 becomes

Theorem 4.1

Let $a \in A$ be a non-trivial idempotent of A, satisfying Eq.(4.1). If we set

$$\sigma(a) = R(a)R(a), \tag{4.2a}$$

$$\theta(a) = L(a)L(a), \tag{4.2b}$$

then they satisfy

(1)

$$\sigma(a)(xy) = (\sigma(a)x)(\sigma(a)y) \tag{4.3a}$$

(2)

$$\theta(a)(xy) = (\theta(a)x)(\theta(a)y) \tag{4.3b}$$

(3)

$$\sigma(a)\theta(a) = \theta(a)\sigma(a) = Id \tag{4.3c}$$

(4)

$$(\sigma(a))^3 = (\theta(a))^3 = Id \tag{4.3d}$$

(5)

$$(\sigma(a))^3 = (\theta(a))^3 = Id \tag{4.3e}$$

(6)

$$< \sigma(a)x|\sigma(a)y >=< \theta(a)x|\theta(a)y >=< x|y > . \tag{4.3f}$$

Therefore, both $\sigma(a)$ and $\theta(a)$ are automorphisms of A of order 3.

Moreover by restricting $g_1 = g_2 = g_3(\equiv g) \in Auto(A)$ in Eqs.(2.37), they generate a invariant sub-group of $Auto(A)$.

Especially, from this theorem, the case of para-Hurwitz algebra with the para-unit e is of some interest, where it can be obtained from Hurwitz algebra A^* i.e., unital composition algebra with the bi-linear product $x * y$ by

$$xy = \overline{x * y} = \bar{y} * \bar{x} \tag{4.4}$$

with $e * x = x * e = x$, and the involution map $x \to \bar{x}$, given by [S.66]

$$\bar{x} = 2 < e|x > e - x. \tag{4.5}$$

Introducing multiplication operators $l(x)$ and $r(x) \in \mathrm{End}A^*$ by

$$l(x)y = x * y, \ r(x)y = y * x \tag{4.6}$$

we find

Corollary 4.2

Let A^* be a Hurwitz algebra over a field F of charachteristic $\neq 2$ with the unit element e and the bi-linear product $x * y(= \overline{xy})$. For any $a \in A^*$ satisfying

$$< a|a >= 1, \text{ and } 2 < e|a >= -1, \tag{4.7}$$

we set

$$\sigma(a) = l(\bar{a})r(a) = r(a)l(\bar{a}). \tag{4.8}$$

We then have

(i)

$$\overline{\sigma(a)}(x * y) = (\sigma(a)x) * (\sigma(a)y), \tag{4.9a}$$

(ii)

$$\sigma(a)\sigma(\bar{a}) = Id \tag{4.9b}$$

(iii)

$$(\sigma(a))^3 = Id \tag{4.9c}$$

(iv)

$$< \sigma(a)x|\sigma(a)y >=< x|y > \tag{4.9d}$$

for any $x, y \in A^*$. Especially, $\sigma(a) \in Auto(A^*)$.

Proof

This is nothing but a special case of Theorem 4.1 by following reason. We first note that any $a \in A^*$ satisfying Eq.(4.7) with $\bar{a} = 2 < a|e > e - a$ satisfies $a * a = \bar{a}$, and hence

$aa = a$, since any $x \in A^*$ obeys a quadratic relation $x * \bar{x} =< x|x > e$, or equivalently ([S,66])

$$x * x = 2 < e|x > x - < x|x > e, \tag{4.10}$$

and $\sigma(a) = R(a)R(a)$ in A. Then, Eq.(4.3a) is rewritten as

$$\overline{\sigma(a)}(x * y) = (\sigma(a)x) * (\sigma(a)y). \tag{4.11}$$

Setting $y = e$, this yields

$$\overline{\sigma(a)}x = (\sigma(a)x) * (\sigma(a)e).$$

However,

$$\sigma(a)e = l(\bar{a})r(a)e = \bar{a} * (e * a) = \bar{a} * a =< a|a > e = e$$

so that $\overline{\sigma(a)}x = (\sigma(a)x) * e = \sigma(a)x$ and then $\overline{\sigma}(a) = \sigma(a)$.

Then, Eq.(4.11) leads to Eq.(4.9a), which Eq.(4.8) gives $\theta(a) = \sigma(\bar{a})$ and hence Eq.(4.9b).This completes the proof.□

Remark 4.3

Let G be the group generated by $\sigma(a)'s$. Then, G is a invariant sub-group of $Auto(A^*)$, as we see by setting $g_1 = g_2 = g_3(\equiv g) \in Auto(A^*)$ in Eq.(2.36). Suppose now that A^* is the Cayley algebra with basis $e_0(\equiv e), e_1, \cdots, e_7$ satisfying

$$< e_\mu|e_\nu >= \delta_{\mu\nu}. \ (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, 7).$$

Then, expressing

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(-e + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{7} \alpha_\lambda e_\lambda)$$

for $\alpha_\lambda \in F$, the condition Eq.(4.7) is equivalent to the validity of

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{7} (\alpha_\lambda)^2 = 3.$$

These imply that there is an idempotent element a in the assumption of Theorem 4.1 and Cor.4.2 for the Cayley algebra, since $aa = a$ and $< a|a >= 1$. Furthermore, if the field F is of charachteristic not 3, we can identify such an element as a point on the 6-sphere S^6. Hence we may be found idempotent elements for other algebras by same way. Moreover for any $b \in A^*$ satisfying $< b|b >= 1$ and $2 < b|e >= -1$, $c = \sigma(b)a$ preserves the same condition when we note

$$< c|c >=< \sigma(b)a|\sigma(b)a >=< a|a >= 1,$$

$$2 < c|e >= 2 < \sigma(b)a|e >= 2 < a|\sigma(\bar{b})e >= 2 < a|e >= -1.$$

Therefore $\sigma(b)$ acts upon S^6, although it may not be transitive. However, for any $b, c \in S^6$, we can find $a \in S^6$ satisfying

$$\sigma(a)b = c,$$

provided that we have $2 < b|c > +1 \neq 0$ with the field F being quadratically closed. The solution is given by

$$a = \frac{1}{1 + \lambda + \lambda^2}\{\lambda(b + c) - \lambda^2 e - c * b\} \in S^6$$

where $\lambda \in F$ is a solution of a quadratic equation

$$1 + \lambda + \lambda^2 = 2 < b|c > +1 \ (\neq 0).$$

If b and c satisfy $2 < b|c > +1 = 0$, then we may not find such $a \in S^6$. However, in that case, we choose $b^{'} \in S^6$ satisfying $2 < c|b^{'} > +1 \neq 0$, and $2 < b|b^{'} > +1 \neq 0$ so that there exists $a_1, a_2 \in S^6$ satisfying

$$\sigma(a_1)b = b^{'} \text{ and } \sigma(a_2)b^{'} = c,$$

it leads to $\sigma(a_2)\sigma(a_1)b = c$. This implies that the group G acts now transitively upon S^6.

We also note that G is an invariant sub-goup of $Auto(A^*)$, we have $t\sigma(a)t^{-1} = \sigma(ta)$ for any $t \in Auto(A^*)$. if we choose $t = \sigma(b)$. This gives

$$\sigma(b)\sigma(a) = \sigma(\sigma(b)a)\sigma(b).$$

The corresponding derivation algebra is obtaind from Eq.(3.5) by setting $a_1 = a_2 = a_3(\equiv a),\ p_1 = p_2 = p_3(\equiv p)$, and $q_1 = q_2 = q_3(\equiv q)$. Then,

$$D(a,p) := D_1(a,p) = D_2(a,p) = D_3(a,p)$$

is given by

$$D(a,p)x = (px)a + a(xq) = \bar{a} * (p * x) + (x * q) * \bar{a} \tag{4.12a}$$

or

$$D(a,p) = l(\bar{a})l(p) + r(\bar{a})r(q). \tag{4.12b}$$

Note that there are many constrainted relations among a, p and q as we see in the following.

Lemma 4.4

Under the assumption as in Corollary 4.2, we have
(1)

$$< a|a >= 1,\ < p|p >=< q|q >= 2 < p|q >,\ < p|a >=< q|a >= 0, \tag{4.13a}$$

(2)

$$2 < a|e >= -1,\ < p|e >=< q|e >= 0,\ \bar{a} = -e - a, \tag{4.13b}$$

(3)

$$q = -p * \bar{a} = -a * p,\ p = -\bar{a} * q = -q * a, \tag{4.13c}$$

(4)

$$q * p =< p|p > a,\ p * q =< p|p > \bar{a}. \tag{4.13d}$$

Proof

From Eq.(3.2), we calculate

$$p = ap + pa = \bar{p} * \bar{a} + \bar{a} * \bar{p} = 2 < \bar{p}|e > \bar{a} + 2 < \bar{a}|e > \bar{p} - 2 < \bar{a}|\bar{p} > e$$

$$= 2 < p|e > \bar{a} - \bar{p} - 0 = 2 < p|e > \bar{a} - (2 < p|e > e - p)$$

$$= 2 < p|e > (\bar{a} - e) + p$$

which gives $< p|e >= 0$. Then, Eq.(3.3)leads to $q = ap = \bar{p} * \bar{a} = -p * \bar{a}$. Also, we calculate

$$< q|e >=< ap|e >=< a|pe >=< a|\bar{p} >= - < a|p >= 0$$

and hence $< q|e >= 0$. Now, Eq.(3.4) is rewritten as $p = qa = \bar{a} * \bar{q} = -\bar{a} * p$. Moreover,

$$p * q = -p * \bar{q} = (\bar{a} * q) * \bar{q} = \bar{a} < q|q > e =< q|a > \bar{a}$$

as well as

$$< q|p >=< q| - \bar{a} * q >= - < e * q|\bar{a} * q >= - < e|\bar{a} >< q|q >= \frac{1}{2}(q|q >,$$

$$< p|a >=< -q * a|a >= - < q * a|e * a >= - < q|e >< a|a >= 0,$$

$$< q|a >= - < a * p|a * e >= - < a|a >< p|e >= 0.$$

Finally, we get

$$p * q + q * p = 2 < p|e > q + 2 < q|e > p - 2 < p|q > e = - < p|p > e,$$

and these complete the proof.□

Lemma 4.5

Under the assumption as in Corollary 4.2, for any $f, g, x \in A^*$, and e is unit element, we have

(1)

$$f * (g * x) = x * (f * g) - 2 < f|e > (x * g) + 2 < g|e > (f * x) + 2 < f|x > g - 2 < g|x > f, \quad (4.14a)$$

(2)

$$(x * f) * g = (f * g) * x + 2 < f|e > (x * g) - 2 < g|e > (f * x) - 2 < f|x > g + 2 < g|x > f, \quad (4.14b)$$

(3)

$$f * (x * g) = -x * (f * g) + 2 < x|e > (f * g) + 2 < f|e > (x * g) - 2 < f|x > g, \quad (4.14c)$$

(4)

$$(f * x) * g = -(f * g) * z + 2 < x|e > (f * g) + 2 < g|e > (f * x) - 2 < g|x > f. \quad (4.14d)$$

Proof

We calculate

$$f * (x * g) + x * (f * g) = \{2 < f|e > x + 2 < x|e > f - 2 < f|x > e\} * g$$

$$= 2 < f|e > x * g + 2 < x|e > f * g - 2 < f|x > g$$

and

$$(f * x) * g + (f * g) * x = f * \{2 < g|e > x + 2 < x|e > g - 2 < x|g > e\}$$

$$= 2 < g|e > g * x + 2 < x|e > f * g - 2 < x|g > f$$

which give Eqs.(4.14c) and (4.14d). We proceed then

$$f * (g * x) + f * (x * g) = f * \{2 < x|e > g + 2 < g|e > x - 2 < x|g > e\}$$

$$= 2 < x|e > f * g + 2 < g|e > f * x - 2 < x|g > f$$

and

$$(f * x) * g + (x * f) * g = \{2 < x|e > f + 2 < f|e > x - 2 < f|x > e\} * g$$

$$= 2 < x|e > f * g + 2 < f|e > x * g - 2 < f|x > g$$

which lead to Eqs.(4.14a) and (4.14b) in view of Eqs.(4.14c and d). □

We can then rewrite Eq.(4.12a) to be

$$D(a,p)x = \bar{a} * (p * x) + (x * q) * \bar{a}$$

$$= x * (\bar{a} * p) - 2 < \bar{a}|e > x * p + 2 < p|e > (\bar{a} * x) + 2 < \bar{a}|x > p - 2 < p|x > \bar{a}$$

$$+(q * \bar{a}) * x + 2 < q|e > (x * \bar{a}) - 2 < \bar{a}|e > (q * x) - 2 < q|x > \bar{a} + 2 < \bar{a}|x > q$$

$$= x * (\bar{a} * p) + x * p + 2 < \bar{a}|x > p - 2 < p|x > \bar{a}$$

$$+(q * \bar{a}) * x + q * x - 2 < q|x > \bar{a} + 2 < \bar{a}|x > q.$$

Further,

$$\bar{a} * p = (-e - a) * p = -p + q,$$

and

$$q * \bar{a} = q * (-e - a) = -q + p$$

so that we find

$$D(a,p)x = (x * q + p * x) + 2 < \bar{a}|x > (p + q) - 2 < (p + q)|x > \bar{a}. \tag{4.15}$$

Next, we would like to relate this derivation to the standard one ([S,66]) given by

$$d(x,y) = l([x,y]^*) - r([x,y]^*) - 3[l(x), r(y)] \tag{4.16a}$$

$$= [l(x), l(y)] + [r(x), r(y)] + [l(x), r(y)] \tag{4.16b}$$

where

$$[x,y]^* \equiv x * y - y * x. \tag{4.16c}$$

We can then similarly find

$$d(f,g)x = \{-2(f * g) - (g * f) + 6 < g|e > g\} * x$$

$$+x * \{2(f * g) + (g * f) - 6 < f|e > g\} + 6 < f|x > g - 6 < g|x > f \tag{4.17}$$

for any $f, g, x \in A^*$. If we choose $f = \bar{a}$ and $g = p + q$ in Eq.(4.17), we find

$$d(\bar{a}, p + q) = 3D(a,p) \tag{4.18}$$

Moreover, if we set

$$u = \alpha\bar{a} + \beta(p+q) + \lambda e$$
$$v = \alpha^{'}\bar{a} + \beta^{'}(p+q) + \lambda^{'}e$$

for arbitray $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \alpha^{'}, \beta^{'}, \lambda^{'} \in F$ satisfying

$$\alpha\beta^{'} - \beta\alpha^{'} = 1.$$

Then, we can rewrite Eq.(4.18) as

$$d(u,v) = 3D(a,p). \tag{4.18}'$$

In this form, we need not worry about constrained relations over u and v, since

$$< u|e >= -\frac{1}{2}\alpha + \lambda, \ < v|e >= -\frac{1}{2}\alpha^{'} + \lambda^{'},$$

so that we can regard u and v be any generic element of A^*. Therefore, our derivation $D(a,p)$ represents the standard formula for $Der(A^*)$, if the underlying field F is of charachteristic $\neq 2$, and $\neq 3$.

Returning to the discussion of $Auto(A^*)$, the most general formula has been given by Elduque ([E.00], who generalized the earlier result of Jacobson([J.58]):

Proposition 4.6 ([E.00])

Let A^* be a Cayley-Dickson algebra with the unit element e.
(i) For any $a_1, \cdots, a_r \in A^*$ and for any positive integer r, if it satisfies

$$a_1 * (a_2 * (\cdots (a_{r-1} * a_r)) = e = ((a_r * a_{r-1}) \cdots) * a_1. \tag{4.19}.$$

then, we have

$$Auto(A^*) = \{\prod_{i=1}^{r} l(a_j), \forall r \in Z, \ \forall a_i \in A^*\} \tag{4.20a}$$

$$= \{\prod_{i=1}^{r} r(a_i), \ \forall r \in Z, \ \forall a_i \in A^*\} \tag{4.20b}$$

$$= \{\prod_{i=1}^{r} l(a_i)r(a_i), \ \forall r \in Z, \ \forall a_i \in A^*\} \tag{4.20c}$$

(ii) Let the underlying field F be of characteristic $\neq 2$ and let $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_r$, with $r = 2s =$ even to satisfy

$$< e|a_i >= 0, \ a_1 * (a_2 * (\cdots (a_{r-1} * a_r))) = e \tag{4.21}$$

instead of Eq.(4.19). Then, $Auto(A^*)$ is still expressed as in Eq.(4.20). Especially, $Auto(A^*)$ is inner.

Remark 4.7

The relationship between this formula and the invariant sub-group G of $Auto(A)$, generated by $\sigma(a)$'s in Theorem 4.1 is not clear. In course of its study, we have found

the following rather peculiar automorphism $\sigma \in Auto(A^*)$ satisfying $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$ from Eqs.(4.20) for the case of $r = 3$. More generally, we note

Theorem 4.8

Let A^* be any algebra over a field F of charachtericstic $\neq 2$, which needs not be the Hurwitz algebra. If $\sigma \in Auto(A^*)$ satisfies $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$, then $d = \sigma - 1$ is a derivation of A^* satisfying $dd = 0$. Conversely, if $d \in Der(A^*)$ satisfies $dd = 0$, then $\sigma = d + 1$ is an automorphism of A^*, satisfying $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$.

Proof

Suppose that $\sigma \in \mathrm{Auto}(A^*), \sigma \neq 1$ satisfies $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$. We then calcultate

$$(2\sigma - 1)(x * y) = \sigma^2(x * y) = \sigma\{(\sigma x) * (\sigma y)\} = (\sigma^2 x) * (\sigma^2 y)$$

$$= (2\sigma - 1)x * (2\sigma - 1)y = 4(\sigma x) * (\sigma y) - 2(\sigma x) * y - (2x)(\sigma y) + x * y$$

$$= 4\sigma(x * y) - 2(\sigma x) * y - 2x * (\sigma y) + x * y,$$

which is rewritten as

$$d(x * y) = (dx) * y + x * (dy)$$

for $d = \sigma - 1$, which satisfies $dd = (\sigma - 1)^2 = 0$, also.

Conversely, if $d \in \mathrm{Der}(A^*)$ satisfies $dd = 0$, then we have

$$0 = dd(x * y) = d\{dx * y + x * dy\} = (ddx) * y + (dx) * (dy) + (dx) * (dy) + x * (ddy)$$

so that we obtain $(dx) * (dy) = 0$. We now calculate

$$\sigma(x * y) = (d + 1)(x * y) = (dx) * y + x * (dy) + x * y$$

$$= (d + 1)x * (d + 1)y = \sigma x * \sigma y.$$

Moreover, $\sigma^2 = (d + 1)^2 = 2d + 1 = 2\sigma - 1$.□

For any integer $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$, we then find

$$\sigma^n = n(\sigma - 1) + 1,$$

which leads to $\sigma^n \sigma^m = \sigma^{n+m}$ for any integers n and m. If the field F is of charachteristic p, then this yields $\sigma^p = 1$ so that σ generates a cyclic group Z_p. On the other side, if F is of characteristic zero, then the generated group is a infinite dimensinal one.

Returning to discussion of Proposition 4.6, the case of $r = 2$ in Eq.(4.20) gives only the trivial automorphism $\sigma = 1$. To see it, we note that Eq.(4.19) implies then

$$a_1 * a_2 = e = a_2 * a_1$$

and have $a_2 =< a_2|a_2 > \bar{a}_1$ with $< a_1|a_1 >=< a_2|a_2 >= 1$, so that

$$\sigma = l(a_1)l(a_2) =< a_2|a_2 > l(a_1)l(\bar{a}_1) =< a_2|a_2 >< a_1|a_1 > Id = Id.$$

Similarly, $r(a_1)r(a_2) = 1$.

We consider the case of $r = 3$, which gives $\sigma \in Auto(A^*)$ satisfying $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$, as we will sketch below. In this third case, Eq.(4.19) becomes

$$a_1 * (a_2 * a_3) = e = (a_3 * a_2) * a_1. \tag{4.22}$$

For simplicity, we normarize a_j's to satisfy

$$< a_1|a_1 >=< a_2|a_2 >=< a_3|a_3 >= 1 \tag{4.23}$$

by extending the field F, if necessary. Then Eq.(4.22) is equivalemt to the validity of

$$a_j * a_{j+1} = a_{j+1} * a_j = \overline{a_{j+2}} \tag{4.24}$$

with $a_{j\pm 3} = a_j$. Especially, $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \sum$ (see Eq.(2.28)). Moreover since $a_j * a_{j+1} = a_{j+1} * a_j$, the quadratic relation

$$x * \bar{y} + y * \bar{x} = 2 < x|y > e$$

together with Eq.(4.23) gives

$$a_j + \varepsilon_{j+2}a_{j+1} + \varepsilon_{j+1}a_{j+2} = (2\varepsilon_j + < a_{j+1}|a_{j+2} >)e \tag{4.25a}$$

where we here set

$$\varepsilon_j =< e|a_j > \tag{4.25b}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, if

$$\mathrm{Det}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \varepsilon_3 & \varepsilon_2 \\ \varepsilon_3 & 1 & \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 & \varepsilon_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 1 - (\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2) + 2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 \tag{4.26}$$

is not zero, we must have $a_j = \lambda_j e$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ for some $\lambda_j \in F$ satisfying $\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 = 1$. But then we calcultate

$$\sigma = l(a_1)l(a_2)l(a_3) = r(a_1)r(a_2)r(a_3) = \prod_{r=1}^{3} l(a_r)r(a_r) = 1$$

to be a trivial automorphysm. Hence, in order to obtain non-trivial σ, we must supose

$$1 - (\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2) + 2\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 = 0. \tag{4.27}$$

Furthermore, by Eq.(4.25a), we obtain

$$(1 - \varepsilon_2^2)a_1 = (\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3)a_2 + \{2(\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3) + < a_2|a_3 > -\varepsilon_2 < a_1|a_2 >\}e.$$

Then, if $\varepsilon_2^2 \neq 1$, this together with Eq.(4.25a) for $j = 3$, we can express both a_1 and a_3 as some linear conbinations of a_2 and e, which generate a associative algebra together with any $x \in A^*$ by Artin's theorem ([S.66]). We then find again

$$\sigma = l(a_1)l(a_2)l(a_3) = l((a_1 * a_2) * a_3) = l(e) = 1$$

to be trivial. In order to get a non-trivial σ, we must then have the relation $\varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_2^2 = \varepsilon_3^2 = 1,\ \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 = 1$ by Eq(4.26).

Remark 4.9. Let $\varepsilon_j \in F (j = 1, 2, 3)$ be constants to satisfy

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \varepsilon_2^2 = \varepsilon_3^2 = 1,\ \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_3 = 1. \tag{4.28}$$

Also, let $b_j \in A^*$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ be to satisfy

(i)

$$< b_i|e >= 0 =< b_i|b_j > \tag{4.29a}$$

(ii)

$$b_i b_j = 0 \tag{4.29b}$$

(iii)

$$\varepsilon_1 b_1 + \varepsilon_2 b_2 + \varepsilon_3 b_3 = 0 \tag{4.29c}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Then, $a_j \in A^*$ defined by

$$a_j = b_j + \varepsilon_j e \tag{4.30}$$

satisfy Eq.(4.24). Moreover, $\sigma \in End(A^*)$ given by

$$\sigma = l(a_1)l(a_2)l(a_3) \tag{4.31}$$

is an automorphism of A^* satisfying $\sigma^2 = 2\sigma - 1$. In this case, we can also rewrite

$$\sigma = 1 + \varepsilon_3 l(b_1)l(b_2) = 1 + \varepsilon_2 l(b_3)l(b_1) = 1 + \varepsilon_1 l(b_2)l(b_3). \tag{4.32}$$

We will not go into detail of this proof. We can similarly find also

$$r(a_1)r(a_2)r(a_3) = \prod_{i=1}^{3} l(a_i)r(a_i) = \sigma.$$

The next question is whether non-trivial $b_j's$ satisfying Eqs.(4.29) exist or not. The answer is affirmative if A^* is a split Cayley algebra, although we will not go into detail, however we note that we may find the elements $b_j's$ by utilizing of Eqs (6.11) and (6.12) in ([O.95]).

Finally, we simply note that since $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \Sigma$ in this case of $r = 3$, we can construct an element of $Trig(A)$ (but not $Trig(A^*)$) by Theorem 2.5.

Next, let us consider the case of $r = 4$, and note that a special choice of $a_4 = e$ reduces the problem to that of the case of $r = 3$. More generally, the case of $r = n$ for a integer n can be regarded as a special instance of $r = n + 1$ with the choice of $a_{n+1} = e$. However the analysis for general case is complicated and we did not succeed in finding some relationship between Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.6. In future, we would like to consider for this view point.

5 Examples of triality groups for some nonassociative algebras with involution

Here, in this section, we will give some examples of the triality group for nonassociative algebras with involution other than the symmetric composition algebra.

Example 5.1 (Matrix algebra)

Let $A = M(n, F)$ be a set consisting all $n \times n$ matrices over the field F. For any $x, y \in M(n, F)$, the matrix product which we designate as $x * y$ is associative and we write

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * z := x * y * z. \tag{5.1}$$

Moreover, for the transpose matrix ${}^t x$ of any $x \in M(n, F)$, we set

$$\bar{x} = {}^t x. \tag{5.2}$$

Then $x \to \bar{x}$ is a involution map of the resulting algebra A^*. Then, A^* is a unital involutive associative algebra. Especially, it is structurable.

Note that the $n \times n$ unit matrix e is , here, the unit element of A^*. We introducea subset of A^* by

$$A_0^* = \{x | \bar{x} * x = x * \bar{x} = e,\ x \in A^*\}. \tag{5.3}$$

For any three $a_j \in A_0^*,\ (j = 1, 2, 3)$, we introduce $\sigma_j(a) \in \mathrm{End}A^*$ by

$$\sigma_j(a)x := a_j * x * \bar{a}_{j+1},\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{5.4}$$

where the indices over j are defined modulo 3, i.e., $a_{j\pm 3} = a_j$. It is easy to see the validity of

$$\sigma_j(a)\sigma_j(\bar{a}) = 1, \tag{5.5a}$$

$$\overline{\sigma_j(a)}x = a_{j+1} * x * \bar{a}_j, \tag{5.5b}$$

$$\overline{\sigma_j(a)}(x * y) = (\sigma_{j+1}(a)x) * (\sigma_{j+2}(a)y). \tag{5.5c}$$

Introducing the conjugate algebra A of A^* with the bi-linear product xy by

$$xy = \overline{x * y} = \bar{y} * \bar{x}. \tag{5.6}$$

then, these are rewritten as

$$\sigma_j(a)x = a_{j+1}(a_j x) = (x\bar{a}_{j+1})\bar{a}_j \tag{5.7a}$$

$$\sigma_j(a)(xy) = \{(\sigma_{j+1}(a)x)\}\{(\sigma_{j+2}(a)y)\}. \tag{5.7b}$$

We note that A is no longer associative but para-associative with the para-associative law of

$$\bar{z}(xy) = (yz)\bar{x}. \tag{5.8}$$

From Eqs.(5.5a) and (5.7b), we find

$$\sigma(a) = (\sigma_1(a), \sigma_2(a), \sigma_3(a)) \in \mathrm{Trig}(A). \tag{5.9}$$

Furthermore, Eq.(5.7a) gives

$$\sigma_j(a) = L(a_{j+1})L(a_j) = R(\bar{a}_j)R(\bar{a}_{j+1}), \tag{5.10}$$

which has the same structures as Eq.(2.29) for the symmetric composition algebra.

For the corresponding to local triality case, let

$$p = (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in (A^*)^3,\ \bar{p}_j = -p_j\ (j = 1, 2, 3) \tag{5.11}$$

and define $d_j(p) \in \text{End } A^*$ by

$$d_j(p)x = p_j * x - x * p_{j+1}. \tag{5.12}$$

We then have

$$\overline{d_j(p)}x = x * \bar{p}_j - \bar{p}_{j+1} * x = p_{j+1} * x - x * p_j \tag{5.13a}$$

$$\overline{d_j(p)}(x * y) = (d_{j+1}(p)x) * y + x * (d_{j+2}(p)y), \tag{5.13b}$$

and hence

$$d_j(p)(xy) = (d_{j+1}(p)x)y + x(d_{J+2}(p)y). \tag{5.14}$$

These imply that $d(p) = (d_1(p), d_2(p), d_3(p)) \in s \circ Lrt(A)$.

This example implies that for the matrix algebra, we have a generalization of the corresponding

$$a * x * a^{-1} \text{ (} automorphism) \Longleftrightarrow p * x - x * p \text{ (} derivation)$$

where a is orthogonal and p is alternative, by means of well-known Cayley transformation, that is, $a = (Id - p) * (Id + p)^{-1}$ (by assuming well-defined).

However, we will not go into detail

It seems that this local triality relation represented by Eq. (5. 14) may be regarded as a version for matrix algebras which correspondense with the well known " the principle of triality" for the Cayley algebra([S.66]), and also similarly that the relation (3.6) of Theorem 3.2 may be regarded as a local triality relation (the principle of triality) for the symmetric composition algebra.

Example 5.2 (Para-Zorn Matrix Algebra)

Let B be an algebra over a field F with a bi-linear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ and let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} F & B \\ B & F \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.15}$$

be the Zorn's vector matrix with its generic elemnt $X \in A$ given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & x \\ y & \beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.16}$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in F$ and $x, y \in B$. We introduce a bi-linear product in A by

$$X_1X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & x_1 \\ y_1 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & x_2 \\ y_2 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1\beta_2 + (y_1|x_2), & \alpha_1x_2 + \beta_2x_1 + ky_1y_2 \\ \alpha_2y_1 + \beta_1y_2 + kx_1x_2, & \alpha_1\alpha_2 + (x_1|y_2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.17}$$

for $k \in F$.

If B is involutive with the involution map $x \to \bar{x}$ satisfying

$$\overline{xy} = \bar{y}\bar{x}, \ (\bar{x}|\bar{y}) = (y|x), \tag{5.18}$$

then A becomes involutive also by

$$X \to \bar{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5.19}$$

and its conjugate algebra A^* with the bi-linear product

$$X_1 * X_2 = \overline{X_1 X_2} = \bar{X}_2 \bar{X}_1 \tag{5.20}$$

will present the standard Zorn's vector matrix algebra.

Also we note that if the involution of B is identity map, then B is commutative, moreover assume that if B is the exceptional Jordan algebra with $dim\ B = 27$, then for $k = 2$, A^* is a structurable algebra ([A.78], [K-O.15]).

If the involution of B is anti-commutative (i.e., $\bar{x} = -x$) and B is provided the property equipped with some conditions which satisfy $x(yz) = (x|y)z - (x|z)y$ and $(x|yz) = (y|zx) = (z|xy)$, then it is known that for $k = 1$ A^* is an alternative algebra ([K-O.15]).

On the other hand, by utilizing of these Zorn's vector matrices, we have considerd a construction of simple Lie algebras or superalgebras from concept of triple systems with a ternary product $(X_1 X_2 X_3)$ in A^*, however we will not go into the details (for example, to see [K-O.15] and references therein).

Next for any non-zero $\lambda \in F$, we intoduce $\rho_j(\lambda) \in End\ A$ $(j = 1, 2, 3)$ by

$$\rho_1(\lambda)X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha, & \lambda x \\ \lambda^{-1}y, & \lambda^{-1}\beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.21a}$$

$$\rho_2(\lambda)X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha, & \lambda^{-1}x \\ \lambda y, & \lambda^{-1}\beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.21b}$$

$$\rho_3(\lambda)X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2}\alpha, & x \\ y, & \lambda^2\beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.21c}$$

We can then show

Theorem 5.3

Under the assumption as in Ex.5.2, we have
(i)

$$\rho_j(\lambda)(XY) = (\rho_{j+1}(\lambda)X)(\rho_{j+2}(\lambda)Y) \tag{5.22a}$$

for any $X, Y \in A$ and for any $j = 1, 2, 3$ with $\rho_{j\pm3}(\lambda) = \rho_j(\lambda)$.
(ii)

$$\rho_j(\mu)\rho_j(\nu) = \rho_j(\mu\nu),\ \ \rho_j(1) = 1 \tag{5.22b}$$

(iii)

$$\rho_j(\mu)\rho_k(\nu) = \rho_k(\nu)\rho_j(\mu) \tag{5.22c}$$

(iv)

$$\rho_1(\lambda)\rho_2(\lambda)\rho_3(\lambda) = 1 \tag{5.22d}$$

(v) If B is involutive, then

$$\overline{\rho_j(\lambda)} = \rho_{3-j}(\lambda^{-1}) \tag{5.22e}$$

for any $j, k = 1, 2, 3$ and for any non-zero $\mu, \nu \in F$.

Proof

Since the calculations are straightforward, we will verify only a few of these statements. First

$$(\rho_1(\lambda)X_1)(\rho_2(\lambda)X_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha_1, & \lambda x_1 \\ \lambda^{-1}y_1, & \lambda^{-1}\beta_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha_1, & \lambda^{-1}x_2 \\ \lambda y_2, & \lambda^{-1}\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2}\beta_1\beta_2 + \lambda^{-2}(y_1|x_2), & \alpha_1 x_2 + \beta_2 x_1 + k y_1 y_2 \\ \alpha_2 y_1 + \beta_1 y_2 + k x_1 x_2, & \lambda^2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \lambda^2(x_1|y_2) \end{pmatrix} = \rho_3(\lambda)(X_1X_2)$$

which proves the case of $j = 3$ for Eq.(5.22a). Similarly, we calcultate

$$(\rho_2(\lambda)X_1)(\rho_3(\lambda)X_2) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\alpha_1, & \lambda^{-1}x_1 \\ \lambda y_1, & \lambda^{-1}\beta_1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2}\alpha_2, & x_2 \\ y_2, & \lambda^2\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda\beta_1\beta_2 + \lambda(y_1|x_2), & \lambda\alpha_1 x_2 + \lambda\beta_2 x_1 + \lambda k y_1 y_2 \\ \lambda^{-1}\alpha_2 y_1 + \lambda^{-1}\beta_1 y_2 + \lambda^{-1}k x_1 x_2, & \lambda^{-1}\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \lambda^{-1}(x_1|y_2) \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1(\lambda)(X_1X_2),$$

for the case of $j = 1$.

We also note for example

$$\rho_2(\lambda)\rho_3(\lambda)X = \rho_2(\lambda)\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-2}\alpha, & x \\ y, & \lambda^2\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(\lambda^{-2}\alpha), & \lambda^{-1}x \\ \lambda y, & \lambda^{-1}(\lambda^2\beta) \end{pmatrix} = \rho_1(\lambda^{-1})X$$

so that

$$\rho_1(\lambda)\rho_2(\lambda)\rho_3(\lambda)X = \rho_1(\lambda)\rho_1(\lambda^{-1})X = X.$$

Finally, if B is involutive, then we compute

$$\overline{\rho_1(\lambda)}\bar{X} = \overline{\rho_1(\lambda)X} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1}\beta, & \lambda\bar{x} \\ \lambda^{-1}\bar{y}, & \lambda\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other side,

$$\rho_2(\lambda^{-1})\bar{X} = \rho_2(\lambda^{-1})\begin{pmatrix} \beta & \bar{x} \\ \bar{y} & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1}\beta, & \lambda\bar{x} \\ \lambda^{-1}\bar{y}, & \lambda\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence $\overline{\rho_1(\lambda)} = \rho_2(\lambda^{-1})$. We can similarly verify other relations.□

Remark 5.4

Let us set

$$e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},\ g(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} \end{pmatrix},\ h(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.23}$$

Then, we have

$$eX = Xe = \begin{pmatrix} \beta, & x \\ y, & \alpha \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.24}$$

for $X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & x \\ y & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, and $eg(\lambda) = h(\lambda), g(\lambda)h(\lambda) = e, h(\lambda)e = g(\lambda)$, as in the property of $a_{j+2} = a_j a_{j+1}$ in section 2, provided $< e|e > := det\ e = 1, < g(\lambda)|g(\lambda) > := det\ g(\lambda) = 1, < h(\lambda)|h(\lambda) > := det\ h(\lambda) = 1$.

Moreover, we can express $\rho_j(\lambda)$ as

$$\rho_1(\lambda) = L(e)L(g(\lambda)) = R(e)R(h(\lambda)) \tag{5.25a}$$

$$\rho_2(\lambda) = L(h(\lambda))L(e) = R(g(\lambda))R(e) \tag{5.25b}$$

$$\rho_3(\lambda) = L(g(\lambda))L(h(\lambda)) = R(h(\lambda))R(g(\lambda)) \tag{5.25c}$$

which have structures similar to Eqs.(2.29) for the symmetric composition algebra,again.

Hence these imply that $\rho(\lambda) = (\rho_1(\lambda), \rho_2(\lambda), \rho_3(\lambda)) \in Trig\ (A)$.

Next, let $\pi \in End(A)$ be given by

$$\pi : \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & x \\ y & \beta \end{pmatrix} \to \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & y \\ x & \beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.26}$$

which satisfies

(i)

$$\pi(XY) = (\pi X)(\pi Y) \tag{5.27a}$$

(ii)

$$\pi^2 = 1 \tag{5.27b}$$

(iii)

$$\pi\rho_j(\lambda)\pi^{-1} = \rho_{3-j}(\lambda) = \bar{\rho}_j(\lambda^{-1}). \tag{5.27c}$$

Hence the group generated by $\rho(\lambda) = (\rho_1(\lambda), \rho_2(\lambda), \rho_3(\lambda))$ and $\pi_0 = (\pi, \pi, \pi)$ will make a sub-group of $Trig\ (A)$.

Moreover suppose that $\xi,\ \eta \in Epi(A)$ satisfy

(iv)

$$\xi(xy) = (\eta x)(\eta y) \tag{5.28a}$$

(v)

$$\eta(xy) = (\xi x)(\xi y) \tag{5.28b}$$

for any $x, y \in B$. We call the pair (ξ, η) be a double automorphism of B. We then find

Proposition 5.5

Under the assumption as in Theorem 5.3, if a double automorphism (ξ, η) of B satisfy

$$(\xi x|\eta y) = (x|y), \tag{5.29}$$

then $P \in EndA$ given by

$$P : X \to \begin{pmatrix} \alpha, & \xi x \\ \eta y, & \beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.30a}$$

is an automorphism of the para-Zorn algebra A, i.e., we have

$$P(XY) = (PX)(PY). \tag{5.30b}$$

Proof.

However, we will not go into its proof, since it is straightforward. □

For simple example of a double automorphism, we have $(\xi, \eta) = (\omega, \omega^2)$, where $\omega^3 = 1$.

Finally, let us consider an example of the corresponding local triality Lie reated triple in the para-Zorn marix algebra A. We will introduce $s_j \in End\ A$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ by

$$s_1 X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & x \\ -y, & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.31a}$$

$$s_2X = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -x \\ y & -\beta \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.31b}$$

$$s_3X = \begin{pmatrix} -2\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 2\beta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.31c}$$

Then by the straightfoward calculations, we may obtain the following.

Proposition 5.6

Under the assumpsion as in above, we have
(i)

$$s = (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in s \circ Lrt(A), i.e.,$$

$$s_j(XY) = (s_{j+1}X)Y + X(s_{j+2}Y) \tag{5.32a}$$

(ii)

$$s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 0 \tag{5, 32b}$$

(iii)

$$[s_j, s_k] = 0, \tag{5.32c}$$

(iv) If B is involutive, then

$$\bar{s}_j = -s_{3-j} \tag{5.32d}$$

for any $j, k = 1, 2, 3$, where the indices over j are again defined modulo 3.

Proof.

Eqs(5.32) are clear by straightfoward calculations. From $\bar{s}_j(X) = \overline{s_j(\bar{X})} = -s_{3-j}(X)$, it is east to show that $\bar{s}_j = -s_{3-j}$ $(j = 0, 1, 2)$. □

In ending this section, we see that three examples considered in this note of the symmetric composition algebra, the matrix algebra and the para-Zorn algebra admit the "Triality group" of form given as in Eq.(2.29). Hence these suggest that there may exist other class of algebras admitting a construction of triality groups in this way.

On the other hand, for triple systems equipped with a ternary product (xyz) (for example, to see [O.95], [K-O.00], [K-M-O.10]), it seems that local and global triality relations may be made as same concept, but it will be considered in future.

Appendix (Simple examples)

In this appendix, we give simple couple examples.

Example A Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the complex number with usual product $x * y$. If we define for $a = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbf{C}^3$, and $|\alpha| = |\beta| = |\gamma| = 1$,

$$\sigma_1(a)x = \alpha * x * \beta^{-1}$$

$$\sigma_2(a)x = \beta * x * \gamma^{-1}$$

$$\sigma_3(a)x = \gamma * x * \alpha^{-1}$$

where $\sigma_{j\pm3} = \sigma_j (j = 0, 1, 2)$, then we have

$$\sigma_j(a)(xy) = (\sigma_{j+1}(a)x)(\sigma_{j+2}(a)y)$$

with respect to new product xy defined by $xy = \overline{x * y}$,
where $\bar{x}$ denotes the conjugation of x.

If we introduce for $a = (\alpha.\beta, \gamma) \in \ (Im\ \mathbf{C})^3$

$$d_1(a)x = \alpha * x - x * \beta, d_2(a)x = \beta * x - x * \gamma, d_3(a)x = \gamma * x - x * \alpha,$$

then we get

$$d_j(a)(xy) = (d_{j+1}(a)x)y + x(d_{j+2}(a)y).$$

Example B Let $\mathbf{H}$ be the quaternion algebra satisfying $i^2 = j^2 \ = k^2 = -1, i * j = -j * i = k$ with basis $\{1, i, j, k\}$. If we define for $a = (i, j, k) \in (Im\ \mathbf{H})^3$,

$$\sigma_1(a)x = i * x * j^{-1}$$

$$\sigma_2(a)x = j * x * k^{-1}$$

$$\sigma_3(a)x = k * x * i^{-1}$$

where the product $x * y$ is usual product of $\mathbf{H}$, and $\sigma_{j\pm 3} = \sigma_j$,
then we have

$$\sigma_j(a)(xy) = (\sigma_{j+1}(a)x)(\sigma_{j+2}(a)y)$$

w.r.t. new product $xy = \overline{x * y}$, where $\bar{x}$ denotes the involutive conjugation of $x \in \mathbf{H}$.

If we introduce $d_1(a)x = i * x - x * j, d_2(a)x = j * x - x * k, d_3(a)x = k * x - x * i$, then we obtain

$$d_l(a)(xy) = (d_{l+1}(a)x)y + x(d_{l+2}(a)y), \quad l = l \pm 3$$

w.r.t new product xy defined by $xy = \overline{x * y}$.

Concluding Remark

In this above $\mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{H}$ has a structure of a symmetric composition algebra with respect to new product defined by $xy = \overline{x * y}$, Thus special examples of Σ in Eq. (2.28) are $(i, j, -k)$, $(i, -j, k)$, $(-i, j, k)$. Indeed, from fact that $\mathbf{H}$ is the symmetric composition algebra with respect to the product xy and $ij = \overline{i * j} = -k$ etc, we obtain a simple special case of Theorem 2.5. Furthermore, putting $a = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{3}\ i)$, then we have $a * \bar{a} = 1$, $aa = a$, $< a|a >= 1$, because $aa = \frac{1}{4}\overline{(-1 + \sqrt{3}\ i) * (-1 + \sqrt{3}\ i)} = a$ and so a is an idempotent element, hence it satisfies the assumpsion of Theorem 4.1. That is, if we set $\sigma(a) = R(a)R(a)$, then $\sigma(a)$ is an automorphism, i.e.,

$$\sigma(a)(xy) = (\sigma(a)x)(\sigma(a)y).$$

Acknowledgments

The one of authors (N.Kamiya) would like to express his gratitude to Prof. A. Elduque for some correspondences.

References

[A.78]: Allison,B.N.; "A class of non-associative algebras with involution containing a class of Jordan algebra" Math,Ann **237**, (1978) 133-156
[A-F.93]: Allison,B.N.,Faulkner;J.R.; "Non-associative coefficient algebras for Steinberg unitary Lie algebras" J.Algebras **161** (1993)133-158
[E.97]: Elduque,A.; "Symmetric Composition Algebra" J.Algebra **196**(1997) 282-300
[E.00]: Elduque,A.; "On triality and automorphism and derivation of composition algebra" Linear algebra and its applications **314**(2000) 49-74

[G.62] Gell-Mann,M.; "Symmetry of Baryons and Mesons, Phys. Rev., vol. 125 (1962) 1067-1084.
[J.58]: Jacobson,N.: "Composition algebras and thier automorphisms" Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 7 (1958),55-80
[K-M-O.10] Kamiya,N., Mondoc,D.. Okubo.S.,; "Structure theory of (-1,-1)Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems", Bull.Austr.Math.Soc., **81** (2010) 132-155.
[K-M-P-T.98]: Knus,M.A.,Merkurjev,A.S.,Post,M.,Tignal,J.P.,; "The Book of Involution" American Math.Soc.Coll.Pub. **44** Providence (1998)
[K-O.00] Kamiya,N., Okubo,S., ; " On δ- Lie super triple systems associated with (ε, δ) Freudenthal-Kantor triple systems", Proc. Edinburgh Math.Soc., **43** (2000) 243-260
[K-O.14] Kamiya,N., Okubo,S., "Triality of structurable and pre-structurabe algebras" J.Alg., **416**(2014) 58-88
[K-O.15]: Kamiya,N. Okubo,S.; "Algebras satisfying triality and S_4 Symmetry" (2015) Arxiv.1503.00614, Algebras, groups and geometries, vol **33**, nu.1, (2016)1-92.
[O.95] Okubo,S. ; "Introduction to octonion andother non-associativealgebras to physics" Cambridge Univ, press, Cambridge(1995)
[O.05]: Okubo,S.; "Symmetric triality relations and structurable algebra" Linear Algebras and its Applications, **396** (2005) 189-222
[O-O.81]: Okubo,S.,Osborn,J.M.; "Algebras with non-degenerate associative symmetric bi-linear form permitting compositions" Comm.Algebra **9** (1981) (I) 1233-1261, (II) 2015-2073
[S.66]:Schafer,R.D.; "An Introduction to Non-associate algebra" Academic press. N.Y. and London (1966)